

# Journal of Advanced Zoology

ISSN: 0253-7214 Volume 44 Issue S-3 Year 2023 Page 914:919

# **Coregular Total Domination in Line Graph**

M.H Muddebihal<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Jayasudha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departmenti of Mathematics, Gulbargai University, Kalaburagi-585106, Karnataka, India <sup>2</sup>Departmenti of Mathematics, Sharnbasvai University, Kalaburagi-i 585103, Karnataka, India

\*Corresponding author's E-mail: mhmuddebihal@gmail.com, neelijaya@gmail.com

Article History	Abstract
Received: 06 June 2023 Revised: 05 Sept 2023 Accepted: 29 Sept 2023	In this paper we establish some results on Core gular total domination in line graph $L(G)$ . Let a dominating set $D$ of $V[L(G)]$ be a total dominating set of $L(G)$ . If the induced subgraph $< V[L(G)] - D >$ is regular, then $D$ is a Coregular total dominating set of $L(G)$ . The minimum cardinality of a minimal Coregular total dominating set is a Coregulat total domination number and denoted by $\gamma_{crt}[L(G)]$ .
CC License CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0	<b>Keywords:</b> Line graph, total dominating set, Coregular, Total dominating set, Coregular total, Domination number.

#### 1. Introduction

The graphs considered here are finite and simple. Any undefined term in this paper may be found in Harary [6]. We begin by recalling some standard definitions from domination theory. A subset  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a dominating set of G, if every vertex of V(G) - D has a neighbour in D. The domination number of G, denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set.

For a comprehensive survey of dominations in graphs, see[7]. Restrained domination in graphs was introduced by Domke.al[5]. A dominating set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a restrained dominating set of a graph G if every vertex in V(G)-S has a neighbour in S as well as neighbour in V(G)-S. The restrained domination number  $\gamma_r(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality of a restrained dominating set of G.

A dominating set S of a graph G is said to be a cototal dominating set of G if the induced subgraph  $\langle V(G) - S \rangle$  has no isolated vertices. The cototal domination number of G, is denoted  $\gamma_{cot}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a cototal dominating set of G. Independently Kulli.et.al [8] initiate the study of cototal domination in graphs.

A subset  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a double dominating set of G if every vertex of G is dominated by at least two vertices of G. The double domination number of G, denoted by  $\gamma_{dd}(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of adouble dominating set of G. The study of double domination in graphs was initiated by Harary and Haynes. [6]

A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is an independent dominating set of G, if every vertex in induced subgraph  $\langle S \rangle$  is independent. The independent domination number i(G) of G is the minimum cardinality of an independent dominating sets of G.See [1]

A dominating set S of a graph G is a total dominating set if the induced subgraph  $\langle S \rangle$  contains no solitary edges. The total domination number  $\gamma_t(G)$  is the least cardinality of atotal dominating set of G. See [3].

A set  $S\subseteq E(G)$  is an edge dominating set of G, if every edge in E(G)-S is adjacent to atleast one edge in S. An edge dominating set S is connected if the subgraph induced by S is connected .The minimum cardinality of connected dominating set S is called the connected edge dominating number  $\gamma_c^{\dagger}$  of G.

A dominating set S of a graph G is perfect dominating set if every vertex of V-S is adjacent to exactly one vertex of S. The minimum cardinality of a perfect dominating set of G is a perfect domination number and is denoted by ,  $\gamma_n(G)$  see [4].

## 2. Results

we give the Coregular total domination number of line graphs of some standard graphs, which are straight forward in the following theorem.

#### **Theorem 1:**

1. For any Path  $P_p$ , with  $p \ge 4$  vertices,

$$\gamma_{crt}[L(P_{3n})] = 2n - 1 \text{ where } n = 1,2,3,...$$

$$\gamma_{crt}[L(P_{3n+1})] = 2n$$
  
 $\gamma_{crt}[L(P_{3n+2})] = 2n$ 

2. For any Wheel  $W_p$ , with  $p \ge 4$  vertices,

$$\gamma_{crt}[L(W_p)] = p - 1.$$

**Theorem 2:** For any connected (p,q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

$$\gamma_{crt}L(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{P}{2} \right\rceil + 2$$
,  $G \ne W_p$  with  $p \ge 8$   
**Proof**: Suppose  $G = W_p$  with  $p \ge 8$ 

$$\gamma_{crt}L(G) > \left[\frac{P}{2}\right] + 2$$
 Hence  $G \neq W_p$  with  $p \geq 8$ 

Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G with |V| = P

Let  $D_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  is a dominating set of L(G). Suppose M = V[L(G)]  $-D_1$ 

Further  $D_2 \subset M$  and  $\langle D_1 \cup D_2 \rangle$  has no isolates such that  $N[D_1 \cup D_2] = V[L(G)]$ . Then  $D_1 UD_2$  forms a total dominating set of L(G).

Now assume  $D_3 = [V[L(G)] - \{D_1 \cup D_2\}]$  and the induced subgraph  $\langle D_3 \rangle$  is regular . Then  $D_3$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  of L(G). Clearly it follows that

$$|D_3| \le \left\lceil \frac{P}{2} \right\rceil + 2$$
 and Hence  $\gamma_{crt} L(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{P}{2} \right\rceil + 2$ .

**Theorem 3:** For any connected (p, q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + N \ge \gamma(G) + \gamma_t(G)$ , Where N is the set of endedges,  $G \ne P_p$  and  $G \ne P_p$  $C_{p}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $G = P_p$  and  $G = C_p$ . Then  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + N \ge \gamma(G) + \gamma_t(G)$ .

Let  $F = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\} \subseteq E(G)$  be the set of all end edges in G with |F| = N.

Let  $S_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq V(G)$  be the set of all end vertices in G. Suppose  $S_2 =$  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\} \subseteq S_1$  be the minimum set of vertices, which covers all vertices in G, such that  $N[S_2] = V(G)$ . Then  $S_2$  forms a dominating set of G. Further if the induced subgraph  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  has no isolates. Then  $S_2$  itself is a  $\gamma_t$  set of G. Suppose if  $\deg(v_1) < 1$  , then attach the vertices  $w_i \in N(v_l)$  to make  $\deg(v_1) \ge 1$  such that  $\langle S_2 \cup \{w_i\} \rangle$  has no isolated vertex. Clearly  $S_2 \cup \{w_i\}$  forms a total dominating set of G.

 $\text{Let}\quad E_1=\ \{e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_k\}\subseteq E(G),\ E_2=\ \{e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_l\}\subseteq E(G).\ \text{Then}\ \forall e_i\in E_1$ are incident with  $\forall v_i \in S_2$ , and  $\forall e_i \in E_2$  are incident with  $\forall v_i \in [S_2 \cup \{w_i\}]$ . Let  $E_3 =$  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\} = E(G)$ . Then  $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\} = V[L(G)]$  corresponding to the elements of  $E_3$ . Also  $H_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\} \subset V[L(G)]$  corresponding to the elements of  $E_1$  and  $H_2 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\} \subset V[L(G)]$  corresponding to the elements of  $E_2$ . Suppose  $K\} \subset$ V[L(G)] be the set of vertices which covers all the vertices of L(G) such that N[K] =

V[L(G)]. Clearly K forms a dominating set of L(G). Suppose the induced subgraph  $\langle K \rangle$  has no isolated vertices then K forms a total dominating set of L(G).

If the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - K \rangle$  is regular, then K is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  set of L(G).

If  $|H_1| < |K|$  and  $|H_2| < |K|$  then it is easily show that  $|H_1 \cup H_2| < |K| + |F|$ Which gives  $\gamma_{crt} L(G) + N \ge \gamma(G) + \gamma_t(G)$ .

Next theorem relates  $\gamma_{crt}L(G)$  in terms of dominating set of L(G) and edges of G.

**Theorem 4:** For any connected (p, q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

$$\gamma_{crt}L(G) + \gamma[L(G)] \le q$$
,  $G \ne C_p$  with  $p \ge 7$ 

**Proof:** If  $G = C_p$  with  $p \ge 7$  vertices,  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + \gamma[L(G)] > q$ 

Hence  $G \neq C_p$  with  $p \geq 7$ 

Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the edge set of G with |E| = q

Let H be the set of vertices with  $\deg(u_i) \geq 2$ ,  $\forall u_i \in H$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  in L(G). Further let  $H_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}(u, v) \geq 2$ . Then there exists a minimal set of vertices  $H_2$  in L(G), such that  $\forall u_i \in V[L(G)] - H_2$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $H_2$ . Hence  $H_2$  is minimal  $\gamma$  set of L(G). Suppose the induced subgraph  $\langle H_2 \rangle$  has no isolated vertices. Then  $H_2$  itself is a minimal total dominating set of L(G). If in the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - H_2 \rangle$  every vertex has same degree, then  $H_2$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$ - set of L(G). If not add the set of vertices  $\{w_i\} \in V[L(G)] - H_2$  such that the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - H_2 \cup \{w_i\} \rangle$  is regular. Hence  $|H_2 \cup \{w_i\}| + |H_2| \leq |E|$  which gives

$$\gamma_{crt}L(G) + \gamma[L(G)] \leq q$$

The following theorem gives the relation of our concept with the edge domination number and restrained domination number of a graph G.

**Theorem 5**: For any connected (p, q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

$$\gamma_{crt} L(G) \leq \ \gamma'(G) + \gamma_{\rm r}(G), \ G \neq W_{\rm p} \ \ {\rm with} \ \ {\rm p} \ \geq 5$$

**Proof:** If  $G = W_p$  with  $p \ge 5$ , then  $\gamma'(G) + \gamma_r(G) < \gamma_{crt}L(G)$ .

Let  $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\} \subseteq E(G)$  such that for each  $e_i \in E_1$ , i = 1,2,3...k,  $N(e_i) \cap E_1 = \emptyset$ . Then  $|E_1| = \gamma'(G)$ .

Let  $A = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$  be the set of endvertices in G, and  $B \subseteq V(G) - A$ . Then there exists a vertex set  $H \subseteq B$  such that  $\forall u_k \in [V(G) - H \cup A]$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $\{H \cup A\}$  adjacent to at least one vertex of  $\{V(G) - H \cup A\}$ . Then  $\{H \cup A\}$  is a  $\gamma_r$  set of G. Suppose  $M \subseteq E_1$  and  $M_1 \subseteq E(G) - E_1$ , then in L(G),  $\{M\} \cup \{M_1\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$ .

Now assume  $\forall u_i \in \{V[L(G)] - \{M \cup M_1\}\}$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $\{M \cup M_1\}$  and  $N[M \cup M_1] = V[L(G)]$ . The  $\{M \cup M_1\}$  is a minimal  $\gamma_t$ -set of L(G).

Suppose the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - \{M \cup M_1\} \rangle$  is regular. Then  $\{M \cup M_1\}$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  set of L(G).

Otherwise add the set of vertices  $\{u_k\}$  from  $V[L(G)] - \{M \cup M_1\}$  which makes the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - \{M \cup M_1\} \cup \{u_k\}\rangle$  regular, Hence

 $|\{\mathbf{M} \cup M_1\} \cup \{u_k\}| \le |E_1| + |H \cup A| \text{ which gives}$ 

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G) \leq \gamma'(G) + \gamma_{r}(G).$ 

Within the ensuring the theorem we relates our concept to  $\gamma_p(G)$  and vertices of graph G. **Theorem 6:** For any connected (p,q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G)$ ) +  $\gamma_{p}(G) \le p$ ,  $G \ne C_{p}$  where p = 2n + 1, n = 2,3,4...

**Proof:** Suppose if  $G = C_p$  with p = 2n+1, n=2,3,4..., then  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + \gamma_p(G) \leq P+1$  a contradiction to the above result .

Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G with |V| = p

Let  $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\} \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $N(v_i) \cap N(v_j) = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall i, j \in V_1$ . Then  $V_1$  is minimal perfect dominating set of graph G. Let  $A = \{v_1', v_{21}', \ldots, v_n'\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$  be minimal dominating set of L(G). Suppose there exists a minimal set  $B = \{v_1', v_{21}', \ldots, v_m'\} \in N(A)$  such that the induced subgraph  $A \cup B$  has no isolated vertex. Further if  $A \cup B$ 

covers all vertices in L(G), then  $A \cup B$  forms a minimal total dominating set of L(G). If the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - \{A \cup B \}\rangle$  is regular, then  $\{A \cup B\}$  itself is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  of L(G). Hence  $|A \cup B| + |V_1| \le |V|$  which gives  $\gamma_{crt} L(G)) + \gamma_p(G) \le p$ .

Next theorem establish a relation between  $\gamma_{crt}L(G)$  and independent domination set of graph G with our concept.

**Theorem 7:** For any connected (p, q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G)$ ) +  $i(G) \le \gamma_{crt}(G) + \beta_0(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $D = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq V(G)$  be a minimal dominating set of G. If  $\forall v_i \in D$ ,  $\deg(v_i) = 0$ , then D is a independent dominating set of G.

Suppose L =  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\} \subseteq V(G)$  be the minimum set of vertices with  $\operatorname{dist}(u, v) \geq 2$  and  $\operatorname{N}(u) \cap \operatorname{N}(v) \neq x$ ,  $\forall u, v \in L$  and  $x \in V(G) - L$ . Clearly  $|L| = \beta_0(G)$ . Let F=  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\} \subset V(G)$  be the set of all endvertices in G and V' = V - F.

Suppose  $D^1 \subseteq V^1$  be a minimal dominating set of G. Further if for some  $\{v_i\} \in N(D')$  and  $\langle D' \cup \{v_i\} \rangle$  forms a minimal total dominating set of G. If  $\langle V - D' \cup \{v_i\} \rangle$  is regular then  $D' \cup \{v_i\}$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$ -set of G.

Further let A be a vertex set of L(G).Now in L(G),let  $A_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\} \subset V[L(G)]$  be the set of vertices corresponding to edges which are incident to the vertices of L in G. Also, the set  $B = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\} \subset V[L(G)]$  be the set of vertices corresponding to edges which are incident to the vertices in  $V_1$  in G. Suppose  $A_1 \subseteq A$ , such that  $\forall u_i \in A_1$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $A_1$  such that  $N[A_1] = V[L(G)]$ . Then  $A_1$  forms total dominating set of L(G). Suppose the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - A_1 \rangle$  is regular ,then  $A_1$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  -set of L(G). If not add the set of vertices  $\{u_k\}$  from  $\{V[L(G)] - A_1\}$  which makes the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - A_1 \cup \{u_k\} \rangle$  regular. Hence

 $|A_1 \cup \{u_k\}| + |D| \le |D' \cup \{v_i\}| + |L|$  which gives  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + i(G) \le \gamma_{crt}(G) + \beta_0(G)$ . **Theorem 8**: For any connected (p,q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G) \geq \gamma_c^1(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\} \subseteq E(G)$  which covers all sdges of G such that  $N[E_1] = E(G)$ . Then  $E_1$  is a minimal edge dominating set of G. Suppose  $K = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  be the set of all endvertices of G. Let  $E_2 = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$  be the set of all edges which are not incident to the vertices of K and  $\forall e_i \in E_2$  is adjacent to at least one edge of  $E(G) - E_2$ . If the induced subgraph  $E_2$  has exactly one component ,then  $E_2$  forms a connected edge dominating set of G.

Now in L(G), let D =  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$  be the set  $\{u_j\} \leftrightarrow \{e_j\} \in E_1(G)$ , suppose D be the minimal set of vertices with N[D] =V[L(G)] and  $\forall u_m \in D$ . Then D is a  $\gamma$ -set of L(G).

Now consider  $H \subset V[L(G)]$  where  $H \in N(D)$  and there exists  $H_1 \subset H$  such that  $(H_1 \cup D)$  has no isolates. Clearly  $\{H_1 \cup D\}$  is a total dominating set of L(G).

In the induced subgraph, if  $\forall v_i \in \langle V[L(G) - \{H_1 \cup D\} \rangle$  has same degree, then  $\{H_1 \cup D\}$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$ -set of L(G). Hence  $|H_1 \cup D| \geq |E_1|$  which forms  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) \geq \gamma_c^1(G)$ .

**Theorem 9:** For any connected (p,q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices with m endvertices,

$$\gamma_{crt}L(G)) + \Delta'(G) \ge \left\lceil \frac{q+m}{2} \right\rceil - 1.$$

**Proof:** Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$  be the edge set of G, with |E| = q. Suppose  $F = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\} \subset V(G)$  be the set of all endvertices in G with |F| = m. Now assume  $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_j\} \subseteq E(G)$  be the set of all nonend edges in G, then there exists at least one edge with maximum degree  $\Delta'(G)$  in  $E_1$ .

Further let  $H = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$  be the vertex set of L(G) corresponding to the elements of E(G). Suppose  $H_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\} \subseteq H$  be the minimum set of vertices which covers all the vertices in L(G). Suppose  $\deg(u_i) \geq 1$ ,  $\forall u_i \in H_1$  then  $H_1$  is a  $\gamma_t$ -set of L(G). Otherwise if  $\deg(u_i) < 1$ , then attach the vertices  $\forall u_j \in N(u_i)$  to make  $\deg(u_i) \geq 1$  such that  $\langle H_1 \cup \{u_j\} \rangle$  has no isolated vertex. Clearly  $H_1 \cup \{u_j\}$  forms a total dominating set of L(G). Further if  $\langle V[L(G)] - H_1 \cup \{u_j\}$  is regular, then  $H_1 \cup \{u_j\}$  is a Coregular total dominating set of L(G). Hence  $|H_1 \cup \{u_j| + E_1 \geq \left|\frac{|F| + |E|}{2}\right| - 1$  which gives

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G)) + \Delta'(G) \ge \left[\frac{q+m}{2}\right] - 1.$ 

**Theorem 10 :** For any connected (p,q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices with m endvertices ,  $\gamma_{crt}L(G)) + \gamma_{cot}(G) \ge \gamma_{dd}(G)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $P = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq V(G)$  such that N[P] = V(G). Then P is a dominating set of G.If the induced subgraph (V - P) has no isolates, then P is a  $\gamma_{cot}$ -set of G.

Now consider  $P_1 = V(G) - P$  and  $P_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq P_1$ , then  $D^d = P \cup P_2$  forms a double dominating set of G.

Now in L(G), let D =  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$  be the set  $\{u_j\} \leftrightarrow \{e_j\} \in E(G)$ ,

 $1 \le j \le n$  where  $\{e_j\}$  are incident with the vertices of  $\{P \cup P_2\}$  .Suppose D be a minimal set of vertices with N[D]= V[L(G)] and  $\forall u_n \in D$ . Then D is  $\gamma$ -set of L(G). Suppose the induced subgraph  $\langle D \rangle$  has no isolated vertices , then D is a  $\gamma_t$ -set of L(G). If the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - \{D\} \rangle$  is regular, then D itself is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  set of L(G). Hence  $|D| + |P| \ge |P \cup P_2|$  which attains  $\gamma_{crt} L(G) + \gamma_{cot}(G) \ge \gamma_{dd}(G)$ .

**Theorem 11:** For any connected (p, q) graph G with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G)) + \alpha_0(G) \leq P + \delta(G). \quad G \neq W_p \ , \ G \neq C_p$ 

**Proof:** Suppose  $G = W_p$  and  $G = C_p$ . Then  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + \alpha_0(G) \leq P + \delta(G)$ .

Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G with |V| = p.

Suppose B =  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\} \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $\deg(v_i) \geq 2 \ \forall \ v_i \in B$  be the set of vertices which covers all the edges in G. Clearly B firms a vertex covering set of G. Let v be a vertex with minimum degree  $\delta(G)$  in G. Further let D =  $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\} = V[L(G)]$ .Let D<sub>1</sub> =  $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$  be the set of all nonend vertices in L(G). Suppose  $D_2 \subseteq D_1$  be the minimum set of vertices which covers all the vertices in L(G).If the induced subgraph  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  has no isolates. Then  $D_2$  forms a total dominating set of L(G). Otherwise, if  $\deg(u_j) < 1, \forall \ u_j \in D_2$  attach the vertices  $w_i \in N(u_j)$  to make  $\deg(u_j) \geq 1$ , such that the induced subgraph  $\langle D_2 \cup \{w_i\} \rangle$  has no isolates. Clearly  $\langle D_2 \cup \{w_i\} \rangle$  forms a minimal total dominating set of L(G). If the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - D_2 \cup \{w_i\} \rangle$  is regular then  $D_2 \cup \{w_i\}$  is a  $\gamma_{crt}$  set of L(G). Hence  $|D_2 \cup \{w_i\}| + |B^1| \leq |V| + \delta(G)$ . which gives the result  $\gamma_{crt}L(G) + \alpha_0(G) \leq P + \delta(G)$ .

A edge dominating set D of a line graph L(G) is a coregular edge dominating set if the induced subgraph |E[L(G)] - D| is regular. The coregular edge domination number is the minimum cardinality of a coregular edge dominating set of L(G) and is denoted by  $\gamma_{cr}^{\dagger}L(G)$ . **Theorem 11:** For any connected (p,q) graph G with  $p \geq 3$  vertices

 $\gamma_{crt}L(G)) + \gamma^{\dagger}(G) \ge \gamma_{cr}^{\dagger}(G).$ 

**Proof:** Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  be the edge set of G, Now consider Let  $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\} \subseteq E(G)$  be the set of edges with maximum edge degree and  $E_2 = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\} \subseteq E(G)$  be the set of edges with minimum edge degree.

Suppose  $E_1^l \subseteq E_1$  and  $E_2^l \subseteq E_2$  if every edge in  $\{E_1^l \cup E_2^l\}$  is adjacent to an edge in  $E(G) - \{E_1^l \cup E_2^l\}$  then  $\{E_1^l \cup E_2^l\}$  forms a  $\gamma$  set of G.

Now in L(G), let D =  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\} \subseteq V[L(G)]$  be the set of vertices corresponding to the edges which are incident to the vertices of  $\{E_1^l \cup E_2^l\}$  in G. Suppose  $D_1 \subseteq D$  such that  $\forall u_i \in D_1$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $D_1$  and  $\deg(u_i) \ge 1$  such that  $N[D_1] = V[L(G)]$ . Then  $D_1$  forms a total dominating set of L(G). Suppose the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)] - D_1 \rangle$  is regular .Then  $D_1$  is  $\gamma_{crt}$ -set of L(G).If not attach the set of vertices  $\{u_i\}$  from  $\{V[L(G)]-D_1\}$  which makes the induced subgraph  $\langle V[L(G)]-D_1\cup\{u_i\}\rangle$  is regular.

Hence 
$$|D_1 \cup \{u_k\}| + |\gamma^{\dagger}(G)| \ge |E_1^{\dagger} \cup E_2^{\dagger}|$$
 .Which gives the result  $\gamma_{crt}L(G)) + \gamma^{\dagger}(G) \ge \gamma_{cr}^{\dagger}(G)$ .  
**Lemma:** For any cycle  $C_p$  with  $p \ge 3$  vertices

$$\gamma_{crt}L(C_p) = \gamma_t^{\dagger}(C_p) = \gamma_c(C_p).$$

# 4. Conclusion

In this work, we looked line graphs with Coregular Total Domination Number. These results show a significant correlation between the Coregular total domination number in a line graph and many factors, such as the Perfect domination number, edge domination number, connected domination number, the Restrained domination number and Double domination number, of a straightforward, undirected graphs with no loops. The idea behind Coregular, the regularity of the vertices of V[L(G)] - D. D is the total domination number of line graph L(G). Here, we have some broad conclusions on the ideal of Coregular total Domination number. Additionally, its relation with additional dominating parameters were discovered.

### **References:**

- 1. R.B Allan and R. Laskar, on domination and independent domination number of a graph, Discrete Mathematics Vol - 23 (1978), 73 - 76
- 2. S.Arumugam and S. velammal 1998, Edge domination in graphs, Taiwanese J of Mathematics , 2(2), 173-179
- 3. E.J Cockayne, R.M dawer and S.T Hedetneimi, Total domination in graphs, Networks, 10(1980) , 211- 219.
- 4. E.J Cockayne , B.L.Hartnell, S.T.Hedetniemi and , R.C Laskar Perfect domination in graphs, J.combi.Informsystem Sci.(1993) Vol-18
- 5. G.S.Domke, J.H.Hattingh, S.T. Hedetnemi, R.C. Laskar, and L.R.Markus, 1997, Restrained domination in graphs, Discrete Math, 203, 61-69
- 6. F.Harary and T.W Haynes, Double domination in graphs, Ars combin. 55(2000), 201-213
- 7. T.W Haynes, S.T Hedetniemi and P.J Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs. Marcel Dekker. Newyork 1998.
- V.R Kulli , Theory of domination in graphs , Viswa international publications (2010).