



Effect of Dopamine on honey bee Central olfactory neurons

D. Rajashree^{1*}

^{1*}Lecturer, Lal Bahadur Shastri College, Swami Ramanand Teerth Maratwada University, Email: Prajanil04@Gmail.Com

***Corresponding Author: D. Rajashree**

^{*}Lecturer, Lal Bahadur Shastri College, Swami Ramanand Teerth Maratwada University, Email: Prajanil04@Gmail.Com

ABSTRACT

Bumble bees live in expansive provinces of thousands of people, which require compelling correspondence to guarantee efficient working of the settlement overall. Pheromones, synthetic concoctions utilized for this reason, assume an essential job in numerous aspects of the bumble bee life. Guarded sessions are no special case, and bumble bees utilize potent alarm pheromones to caution their nestmates of the presence of huge (generally mammalian) gatecrashers. In any case, pheromones are not by any means the only odorants that are critical to honeybees. These notable pollinators like wise depend on their feeling of smell to discover and recognize compensating blooms when they go out foraging, and the nectar they bring home is frequently scented. Along these lines, botanical smells are central to the science of bumble bees as pheromones. In such cases, communications frequently happen that can alter or even smother the bug's response to its pheromone. The experiment was carried out to explore if and how common plant scents ordinarily encountered by honey bees amid foraging regulate aggression, specifically when they are joined with the alarm pheromone. Bumble bees (*Apis mellifera*) are bugs living in states with a perplexing social association. Their home contains sustenance stores as nectar and dust just as the brood, the ruler and the honey bees themselves. These assets must be defended from a wide range of predators and parasites, an errand that is performed by laborers, called protect honey bees. Gatekeeper tune their response to both the idea of the risk and the ecological conditions, so as to accomplish an efficient exchange off among safeguard and loss of foraging workforce. By releasing alarm pheromones, they can select different honey bees to enable them to deal with huge predators. These synthetic concoctions trigger both rapid and longer-term changes in the conduct of adjacent honey bees, hence preparing them for barrier. Here, we survey our current comprehension on how this arrangement of occasions is performed and regulated relying upon an assortment of components that are both extrinsic and intrinsic to the state. The present study shows the neural bases of bumble bee aggression and feature inquires about avenues for future examinations here. This investigation is the first to uncover the modulatory activities of DA on ionic flows in bumble bee AL neurons. It also demonstrates that a critical level of AL neurons are touchy to DA and that DA diminishes the adequacy of Ca²⁺-actuated K⁺ flows in these cells.

CC License
CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0

Keywords: olfactory, charybdotoxin, dopamine, *Apis cerana*, *Apis florea*, *Apis dorsata*, etc.

INTRODUCTION:

Essential olfactory focuses (antennal projections, ALs) of the bumble bee cerebrum are attacked by dopamine (DA)-immuno reactive neurons from the get-go being developed (pupal stage 3), promptly before a time of fast development and compartmentalization of the AL neuropil. Here we look at the modulatory activities of DA on bumble bee AL neurons amid this period. Voltage-clamp accounts in entire cell arrangement were utilized to decide the impacts of DA on ionic flows in AL neurons in vitro from pupal honey bees at stages 4 to 6 of the 9 phases of transformative grown-up advancement. In roughly 45 percent of the neurons tried, DA ($5\text{--}50 \times 10^{-5}\text{M}$) diminished the abundance of outward flows in the cells. Notwithstanding a gradually initiating, continued outward current, DA diminished the plentifulness of a quickly actuating, transient outward conductance in certain cells. Both of the flows adjusted by DA could be canceled by the expulsion of Ca^{2+} from the outer medium, or by treatment of cells with tetrodotoxin ($2 \times 10^{-8}\text{M}$), a blocker of Ca^{2+} -subordinate K^{+} flows in the cells. Ca^{2+} flows were not influenced by DA, nor were A-type K^{+} flows (IA). Results recommend that postponed rectifier-like current (IKV) likewise stays flawless within the sight of DA. Taken together, our information demonstrates that Ca^{2+} -subordinate K^{+} flows are focuses of DA adjustment in bumble bee AL neurons. This examination lends backing to the speculation that DA assumes a job in the creating mind of the honey bee.

Amid transformation, the focal sensory system of the bumble bee, *Apis mellifera*, experiences essential development and revamping. No place are the progressions more striking than in the essential olfactory focuses (antennal projections, ALs) of the mind. Around pupal stage 2 of the 9 phases of transformative grown-up advancement, antennal tangible afferent neurons enter the ALs. Their landing triggers the arrangement of noticeable subunits of synaptic neuropil called glomeruli, which are the utilitarian subunits of the neuropil. Every glomerulus contains the terminal arbors of antennal tactile afferent neurons, procedures of neighborhood interneurons, dendrites of projection (output) neurons, and consequences of divergent neurons that venture to the ALs from different destinations in the cerebrum.

Quickly before glomerulus arrangement (pupal stage 3), creating ALs are attacked by dopamine (DA)-immunoreactive processes that ramify widely in the focal neuropil of the flaps. These processes start from cell bodies situated in the sidelong deutocerebral soma skin, back to every AL. Similar cells broaden forms in to the dorsal projection of the deuto cerebrum, just as to the protocerebrum and suboesophageal ganglion. Around pupal stage 4 there is a flood in DA levels in the ALs, and quick neurite outgrowth obvious in stage-5 AL neurons in vitro is improved by introduction to DA. While the character of the receptors that intercede the impacts of DA still can't seem to be resolved, mRNAs for 3 DA receptor qualities, *Amdop1*, *Amdop2* and *Amdop3* have been identified in cells that encompass the creating AL neuropil of the honey bee. The declaration of these qualities, specifically *Amdop2*, is unequivocally formatively managed, recommending that DA assumes a focal job in the creating cerebrum of the honey bee.

In grown-up working drones, DA levels in the cerebrum, and dimensions of dopamine receptor quality articulation change extraordinarily amid the life time of the honey bee. Intriguingly, paying little respect to age, DA levels in the antennal projections of foragers are higher than in the antennae of honey bees performing nursing obligations proposing that DA in antennal projections is connected to conduct state. While it has been recommended that biogenic amines, for example, DA may impact reaction edges for reward and related improvements, generally little is thought about the systems through which this amine works in the mind of the honey bee.

OLFACTORY PERCEPTION AND LEARNING IN HONEY BEES :

The bumble bee *Apis mellifera* has been a focal creepy crawlly show in the investigation of olfactory recognition and learning for over a century, beginning with pioneer work by Karl von Frisch. Research on olfaction in bumble bees has extraordinarily profited by the appearance of a scope of conduct and neurophysiological ideal models in the Lab. Compound particles, particularly unpredictable ones, are the vessel of significant data that may decide a creature's inevitable survival and regenerative achievement. May be thus, the feeling of chemoreception is universally spoken to in the set of all animals (Ache and Young, 2005). The job of the olfactory framework is to decipher the unpredictable whirlpools of particles in nature and shape them into bits of significant data that will enable the creature to settle on choice and take part in adjusted practices. Real assignments of the olfactory framework are for example their cognizable proof

of sustenance sources, the identification of conceivable threats, (for example, fire or predators), the acknowledgment of potential mates just as permitting social associations. How the sensory system works this change from the discovery of substance particles by means of the arrangement of neural portrayal until the formation of percepts has been the focal point of extraordinary research particularly invertebrates (Lledo et al., 2005; Mori et al., 2006; Leon and Johnson, 2009; Mandairon and Linster, 2009) and in creepy crawlies (Galizia and Menzel, 2001; Laurent, 2002; Galizia, 2008). A general finding of these examinations is that the essential tenets fundamental olfactory preparing in these diverse classes of creatures are exceptionally comparable (Hildebrand and Shepherd, 1997; Ache and Young, 2005). Generally, this likeness is thought to result from developmental assembly because of comparable requirements (Eisner, 2002).

Bumble bees are social bugs which present a wide scope of practices depending on olfaction both inside and outside of the state. Additionally, the investigation of olfaction is effectively manageable to the research facility, since devoted conventions have been created in which honey bees show fast and hearty scent learning capacities (Menzel, 1999; Giurfa, 2007). Also, the olfactory pathway of the bumble bee cerebrum has been widely depicted (Kenyon, 1896; Mobbs, 1982; Strausfeld, 2002; Kirschner et al., 2006) and the honey bee mind is effectively open to neurophysiological investigations like electrophysiological or optical imaging chronicles (Galizia and Menzel, 2001).

OLFACTORY RESPONSES ON HONEY BEE:

Bumble bees were portrayed as enchantment well for revelations in science by Karl Von Frisch. The European bumble bee, *Apis mellifera* is entrenched as a model framework to explore if ferent principal logical inquiries at the conduct, neural and sub-atomic dimensions. The olfactory molding in honey bees is broadly utilized for research in learning and memory (Menzel and Erber 1978; Menzel et al. 1993; Menzel and Muller 1996; Giurfa 2007) as highlights and components of learning and memory in honey bees are observed to be like those in well evolved creatures and people. A huge piece of these outcomes has originated from studies completed utilizing the olfactory arrangement of *Apis mellifera* as the model framework. In *Apis mellifera* the scent particles are recognized by around 60,000 olfactory receptor neurons (ORN) present in sensilla situated on the receiving wires (Esslen and Kaisling 1976; Kropf et al. 2014). ORNs from one side innervate a solitary comparing antennal flap (AL), the essential olfactory focus, through the T1-4 tracts of the antennal nerve (A) (Suzuki 1975; Mobbs 1982; Galizia et al. 1999; Abelet et al. 2001; Kirschner et al. 2006). In the AL of *Apis mellifera*, ORNs neuro transmitter on to around 800.

Projection neurons (PN) and around 4000 nearby neurons (LN) in thick spheroidal structures call glomeruli which are them orpho functional unit of the AL (Hildebrand and Shepherd 1997; Anton and Homberg 1999; Hansson and Anton 2000). PNs in *Apis mellifera* associated with the higher olfactory focus them mushroom bodies (MB) through 5 antenna-cerebral tracts (ACT) where they neural connection with around 1,80,000 Kenyon cells (KC) (Mobbs 1982; Abelet et al. 2001; Kirschner et al. 2006; Muller et al. 2002; Rossler and Brill 2013; Zwaka et al. 2016). While the dendrite of the KCs innervate the calyces, the axons venture frontally and structure the mushroom body peduncle. In this manner, the axons of KCs bifurcate and the branches innervate the α -projection and the β -flap. Olfactory information is gotten by the lip area and inward 50% of the basal ring.

The MB calyces. ORNs have smell and focus subordinat ereaction profiles. ORNs that express comparative receptor types innervate a solitary glomerulus (Galizia and Menzel 2000). Axons of the ORNs innervate the cortex while PNs and LNs innervate the center of the glomeruli (Galizia et al., 1999). In the AL, scent data from the ORNs is prepared in the glomeruli, where they bring out smell cell explicit transiently designed reactions or the spatio-fleeting smell code (Laurent 1997, Galizia and Menzel 2000). These reactions are trade mark for every sort to odorant and exceptionally reproducible (Galizia et al. 1999). To comprehend this olfactory code it is fundamental to comprehend the glomerular game plan.

Despite the fact that in creepy crawlies the glomerular number, shape and course of action changes from species to species, their size, shape and are have been accounted for to be comparable crosswise over people of same species and sex. This makes it conceivable to make an atomical maps of glomeruli for an animal variety to consider the scent code. In many species that we are aware of, every glomerulus gets contribution from all ORNs communicating a solitary receptor type. So the check of glomeruli can go about as a limitation in hunting down receptor qualities in the DNA arrangement database. Among bumble bee species, the glomerular chart book is accessible for *Apis mellifera* (Galizia et al. 1999). Among the nine

species in the class Apis, in India, the species Apis cerena, Apis florea and Apis dorsata are available generally. A similar report on the basic contrasts in the olfactory arrangement of Apis florea and Apis mellifera is accessible. Apis florea is found to have a comparative number of glomeruli and olfactory receptor qualities contrasted with Apis mellifera.

In our investigation we described the olfactory arrangement of Apis dorsata specialist's faras life systems, physiology and conduct. We thought about the glomerular association of Apis dorsata to that of Apis mellifera and made a computerized chartbook of the glomeruli. We contemplated the GABA positive innervations of the AL and the extra neurons of the mushroom body. The idea of the antennocerebral tracts and the game plan of the Kenyon cells and tracts were portrayed. We demonstrate that the general plan of the cell groups of Kenyon cells in the mushroom body is helped through in the course of action of axonal strands in the peduncle and the projections shaping parallel compartments.

Bumble bee laborers (Apis dorsata) were gathered from the hives in the college grounds, cooled in a cooler at 4°C and mounted in plastic cylinders utilizing sticky tape. For every one of the analyses requiring accounts and color fills the head was immobilized with paraffin wax. For anterograde fills from antennal nerve, the scapus of a reception apparatus was cut and a gem of color Dextran Biotin, 3000 MW, lysine fixable (BDA 3000; Molecular Probes) or Dextran tetramethylrhodamine, 3000 MW, anionic lysine fixable (D3308; Molecular Probes) was embedded utilizing pulled glass slides. The cut receiving wire was fixed with Vaseline to counteract drying up. The creature was kept in a wet chamber medium-term to permit transport of the color. The following day the cerebrum was analyzed out and fixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours. To image the efferent tracts of the AL and the MB the finger nail skin was sliced open to uncover the mind. Subsequent to expelling trachea and organs a glass anode containing color (dextran biotin/dextran tetramethylrhodamine) was embedded in to the area of infusion and left for a couple of moments. The cerebrum was later secured with the bit off in ger nail skin that was recently cut and kept for 3-4 hours before analysis and observation.

MATERIAL & METHODS :

Casings of bumble bee brood gathered from hives at the Department of Zoology, University of Otago, were kept for times of 1 wk in a humidified hatchery at 35°C. Changeable grown-up advancement in the bumble bee happens over a 8-to 9-day time frame. Pupal bumble bees at stages 4–6 (P4–P6) of the nine phases of transformative grown-up improvement were gathered from the brood outlines. The phase of advancement was found out by utilizing a strategy like that portrayed by Jay (1962) in view of outside signs, for example, eye shading and head pigmentation. The investigations portrayed in this work consent to the laws of New Zealand controlling logical research. Essential cell societies were set up from the ALs of pupal honey bees as depicted somewhere else. The heads of 8–10 pupal honey bees were expelled from their bodies, put in a dish fixed with sylgard (Dow Corning, Midland, MI), and verified with bug mounting pins. The front of the head container and glandular tissue encompassing the mind were expelled. The uncovered cerebrum was flushed with changed Leibovitz L-15 culture medium (BL15, pH 7.2, 500 mOsm) enhanced with 4.0 g glucose, 2.5 g fructose, 24.0 g sucrose, and 3.3 g proline per liter (all obtained from Sigma, St. Louis, MO). ALs were expelled with fine forceps and set in new BL-15 medium. The rest of the means occurred under sterile conditions. To help separation of the tissue, ALs were presented to a hyperosmotic culture medium (BL-15 enhanced with an extra 20.0 g / l sucrose, 580 mOsm) for 10 minutes moved into a Ca²⁺- and sans Mg²⁺ bumble bee Ringer (in mM: 135 NaCl, 5 KCl, and 114.5 Tris-HCl; pH 7.2, 460 mOsm) for a similar period. The tissue was flushed quickly in culture medium (BL-15), over a bundance liquid was evacuated, and the ALs (6/250 µl) were separated by trituration. The separated cells were moved in 100- µl aliquots to the focal point of a non-coated plastic culture dish (Falcon, 3001) and permitted to hold fast to the substrate for 3–5 min. The dishes were loaded up with 2 ml of culture medium (BL15) and set in a humidified hatchery at 28°C. Cells were kept up in culture for 4–5 days before use.

Fixclip accounts in entire cell arrangement were utilized to look at DA regulation of ionic flows in bumble bee AL neurons in vitro. The voltage-gated and Ca²⁺-subordinate flows inspected in this examination have been depicted in detail somewhere else. These flows incorporate a transient A-type K⁺ current (I_A), a continued, deferred rectifier-like current (I_{KV}), Ca²⁺-enacted K⁺ flows (I_{KCa}), a Ca²⁺ current (I_{Ca}), and a quickly initiating transient TTX-delicate current conveyed by Na⁺ (I_{Na}). Cells were seen under an IMT-2 magnifying lens (Olympus) utilizing stage differentiate optics. All investigations were directed at room temperature. Recording cathodes (2–3 MΩ) were set up from borosilicate glass (100-

ulmicropipettes, 1.71 mm OD, 1.32 mm ID; VWR Scientific, West Chester, CA) utilizing a Flaming – Brown micro pipette puller (P-87, Sutter Instruments) and in layed with an answer containing (inmM)100K - aspartate, 40 KF, 20KCl, 2.5MgCl₂, 1EGTA,160 sucrose, and 10HEPES (pH7.2). Allthrough the chronicle timef rame, cells were cease lessly very combined with counter feit creepy crawly saline (AIS) containing (inmM)130NaCl, 6KCl, 4MgCl₂, 5CaCl₂, 160 sucrose, 25 glucose, and 10HEPES / NaOH (pH7.2, 500mOsm). Intersection possibilities were invalidated be foreseal arrangement. Chronicles were made utilizing an Axopatch1D intensifier related to a CV4 1/100 head stage and Digidata1200 interface (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). Information were gained utilizing Clamp programming (AxonInstruments, Union City, CA) keep running on a 486 PC. Cells were clipped at a holding capability of –70mV, and depolarizing voltage steps were utilized to actuate voltage – gated directs in the cells. Layer flows were separated at 2kHz utilizinga low-pass four-shaft bessel channel and tested at interimsof 100μs. Inmany accounts, a P/4 convention was utilized for advanced subtraction of direct hole flows and capacitance antiquities. No pay was made for arrangement opposition, and in this manner voltage blunders might be available where flows estimated were vast. Not with standing, arrangement to obstruction blunder sought not influence the focal finishes of this investigation.

RESULT & DISCUSSION :

This investigation is the first to uncover the modulatory activities of DA on ionic flows in bumble bee AL neurons. Our outcomes demonstrate that a critical level of AL neurons are touchy to DA and that DA diminishes the adequacy of Ca²⁺- actuated K⁺ flows in these cells. We appear, what's more, that in sort1cells, I_{Ca}, I_A, and most presumably I_{KV}, stay unblemished within the sight of this amine. While the character of cells receptive to DA presently can't seem to be obviously settled, our outcomes recomm end that type1cells are probably going to speak to a sub population of near by AL interneurons (LNs). In the Als of the honey bee, there are altogether more LNs than projection (yield) neurons (PNs). Assessments of~4,000 LN sperflap and 800 PNs have been accounted for. That a larger part of thecells invitro displayed type1current profiles recommends along these lines that type 1cells are bound to be LNs than PNs. Examination of the electrophysiological properties of the cells underpins this view. Outward current profiles shown by sort1cells are extraordinarily not the same as those revealed for PNs, which rather look like current profiles saw in this examination in cells of sort 2. Type 1 AL neurons, as opposed to type 2 cells, express a conspicuous A - type current. Our outcomes show plainly that I_A stays flawless within the sight of DA, and the energy of the DA - delicate flows recognized in sort 1cells recommend in a round about way that the postponed rectifier-like current, I_{KV}, is likewise unaffected by the nearness of this amine. Conversely, I_K Catransient and I_{KCa} supported both appear to be immediate focuses of DA regulation. The active properties of the two DA - touchy flows intently look like those of I_{KC} a transient and I_{KCa} supported, and impacts of DA on sort 1 cells are copied bythe I_{KC} a blocker, CTX. That no recognizable DA balance was seen incells in which I_{KCa} had been hindered with CTX before DA application gives solid help to the end that DA regulates Ca²⁺-subordinate K⁺ flows in the seneurons.

Ca²⁺-subordinate K⁺ flows have been portrayed in numerous bug species. Transient and continued segments of I_{KC} a have been accounted for, for instance, in cockroachdorsal unpaired middle neurons and, a sin sort 1cells, the two flows are hindered by CTX. The quality encoding the supported I_{KC}adivert in cockroach neurons has been recognized and in cells heterologously communicating this channel, continued I_{KCa} has anenactmentrate like that of the DA-touchy supported current saw in bumble bee AL neurons.

Reports depicting the result of I_{KC} at weak in other invertebrate frame works give intimations as to likely impacts of DA balance ofCa²⁺-actuated K⁺flows on the sensitivity of Apis AL neurons. Inmolluscan focal example generator neurons, serotonin-incited lessening of spike after hyperpolarization, ascribed to a decrease in I_{KCa} abundancy, advances dull spiking inthese neurons (Katz and Frost1997). Applying DA to secluded pyloricdilator neurons in the lobster stomato gastric ganglion, then again, expands the sufficiency of complete I_{KCa} adding to an expansion in interspike interim and a decrease in real life potential recurrence in these neurons. These outcomes, together with reports indifferent frameworks, recommend that DA-incited lessening of I_{KCa} will expand the volatility of bumble bee AL neurons.

Increasing sensory systems, dimensions of electrical action significantly affect neuronal development and separation and on movement subordinate tuning of neuronalassociations. DA-prompted changes in cell

sensitivity thus could have formative pertinence in ALs of the honey bee. The early appearance of DA-immunoreactive procedures in bumble bee ALs, proof that DA-receptor qualities in the honey bee cerebrum are unequivocally formatively managed, and the recognizable proof in this investigation of DA-delicate flows in creating AL neurons all recommend a formative job for DA, notwithstanding its capacities in the grown-up mind. In ALs of the sphinx moth, *Manduca sexta*, modulatory activities of serotonin on creating AL neurons unequivocally anticipate the activities of this mine in the mind of the grown-up moth.

In grown-up bumble bees, olfactory data is encoded by spiking designs in AL projection neurons and examples of action over the glomerular cluster. Both are probably going to be influenced by DA - instigated changes in the movement of nearby AL interneurons or potentially projection neurons. DA has the potential in this way to impact the identification and separation of smells and may be like wise olfactory learning and the arrangement of odotopic receptive collections. A nearly examination of DA work in bumble bee ALs demonstrated that DA connected to the projections diminishes the level of creatures that react to an adapted olfactory improvement. One conceivable focus of DA balance is the sub population of LNs that contain the inhibitory synapse GABA. Around 750 of the 4,000 LNs in the bumble bee ALs are GABA immunoreactive, and there is convincing proof that these neurons assume a job in AL capacities, for example, scent segregation. Be that as it may, DA may not target GABAergic pathways alone. In the vertebrate olfactory globule, for instance, DA directs GABAergic inhibitory preparing, yet in addition control a stangle contribution to the olfactory knob through a presynaptic activity on olfactory nerve terminals. DA is probably going to act at different locales likewise in essential olfactory focuses of the bumble bee mind. No less than two DA receptor qualities are communicated by Deutocerebral neurons that encompass the ALs, and in the sex examination, type 1 cells, yet additionally few sort 2 AL neurons, reacted to this amine.

While the systems through which DA works in the ALs still can't seem to be completely settled, the after effects of this investigation give an imperative advance toward this objective. The point of future examinations will be to decide the practical outcomes of DA regulation of Ca^{2+} -evoked K^{+} flows, both in the creating ALs and in AL neurons of the grown-up working drone.

CONCLUSION:

One century of investigations has given broad information on the olfactory conduct of bumble bees, on the neuroanatomical association of their olfactory pathway just as on the neural portrayal of scents inside these circuits. Everyone of these tests agree to demonstrate that the bumble bee olfactory framework is tuned for playing out various activities that are urgent world wide increments in glomerular volume was found in matched honey bees in respect to unpaired honey bees. For everyone of the scholarly smells (here 1-hexanol), three glomeruli demonstrated a significant volume increment. (C) Counts of microglomeruli numbers in the MB calyx, in view of synapsin / phalloidin in twofold recoloring. Olfactory longhaul memory instigated an expansion in microglomeruli numbers in the lip area (olfactory) contrasted with unpaired or credulous honey bees. This longhaul versatility depends on interpretation as infusion of Actinomycin D obstructed the impact. This auxiliary versatility identified with olfactory longhaul memory was coherently discovered just in the calyx lip (olfactory in forebrain) and not in the neck line (visual information district).

For meeting the demands of social life, food search, and mating. This system thus allows to (1) detect and identify odor stimuli, allowing graded responses to increasingly similar odors; (2) measure stimulus concentration allowing both concentration invariant and concentration-specific odor recognition; (3) detect components within a mixture as well as extract mixture-unique properties; (4) constantly adapt to the odor of our environment; and (5) learn relationships between almost any odor and appetitive or aversive outcomes. Although our understanding of odor representation at the different levels of the bee brain has greatly improved in the last years thanks to state-of-the-art recording techniques, entire brain regions have yet to be explored. The most prominent are the APT dependent parts of AL and MBs, as well as the utterly unexplored LH. Thanks to optical imaging, our understanding of the spatial representation of odors has greatly improved, but temporal aspects are still poorly understood. Even in such a simple system, as compared to vertebrates, olfactory coding involves complex interactions between different neuron types, so that only computational approaches feeding on comprehensive sets of experimental data may help understanding the dynamics and processing rules of the olfactory system. Lastly, plasticity appears in multiple regions of the olfactory pathway, but their respective implications for tuning the olfactory system or for storing outcome-related memories is still unknown. It shall be the goal of future research to progress in these questions, so that a

comprehensive model of olfactory detection, processing, and learning in the honey bee can be constructed, the ultimate goal of sensory neuro science.

REFERENCES :

1. Ache, B.W., and Young, J.M. (2005). *Olfaction: diverse species, conserved principles*. Neuron 48, 417–430.
2. Eisthen, H.L. (2002). *Why are olfactory systems of different animals so similar?* Brain Behav.Evol.59, 273–293.
3. El Hassani, A.K., Giurfa, M., Gauthier, M., and Armengaud, C. (2008). *Inhibitory neuro transmission and olfactory memory in honey bees*. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 90,589–595.
4. Galizia, C. G. (2008). “Insect olfaction,” in *The Senses, A Comprehensive Reference*, eds D.V.Smith, S.Firestein, and G.K.Beauchamp (London: Elsevier),725–769.
5. Galizia, C.G., and Kimmerle, B. (2004). *Physiological and morphological characterization of honey bee olfactory neurons combining electrophysiology, calcium imaging and confocal microscopy*. J.Comp. Physiol.A190, 21–38.
6. Galizia, C.G., McIlwrath, S.L., and Menzel, R.(1999a). *A digital three dimensional atlas of the honey bee antennal lobe based on optical sections aquired using confocal microscopy*. Cell Tissue Res.295, 383–394.
7. Galizia, C.G., Sachse, S., Rappert, A., and Menzel, R.(1999b). *The Glomerular code for odor representation is species specific in the honey bee Apis mellifera*. Nat. Neurosci.2, 473–478.
8. Galizia, C. G., and Menzel, R. (2001). *The role of glomeruli in the neural representation of odours: results from optical recording studies*. J.Insect Physiol.47, 115–129.
9. Galizia, C.G., Munch, D., Strauch, M., Nissler, A., and Ma,S.W. (2010). *Integrating hetero generous odorr esponse data into a common response model: Do OR to the complete olfactome*. Chem. Senses 35, 551–563.
10. Getz,W.M., and Smith, K.B. (1991). *Olfactory perception in honey bees: concatenated and mixed odorant stimuli, concentration, and exposure effects*. J.Comp. Physiol. A169, 215–230.
11. Giurfa, M. (2003).*Cognitive neuroethology: dissectingnon element all earning in a honey bee brain*.Curr.Opin. Neurobiol.13, 726–735.