



## Evaluating The Effectiveness Of Isolation Precautions In Controlling The Spread Of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms In Hospitals: A Meta-Analysis

Mohammed Ali Hussain Ayyashi<sup>1\*</sup>, Musaad Mohammed Saeed Alshahrani<sup>2</sup>, Omar Hamed Alkathami<sup>3</sup>, Hamad Ali Mohammed Madkhali<sup>4</sup>, Zohoor Mosa Ali Moafa<sup>5</sup>, Abdulrahman Yahya Yahya Qadri<sup>6</sup>, Abdulaziz Ali Yuseif Alareshi<sup>7</sup>, Abdullah Mohammed Alamir<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Mohammed Ali Hussain Ayyashi: Clinical pharmacist, MMS in Jazan

<sup>2</sup>Musaad Mohammed Saeed Alshahrani: Clinical pharmacist, AFH in Dhahran

<sup>3</sup>Omar Hamed Alkathami: Clinical pharmacist in MODHS

<sup>4</sup>Hamad Ali Mohammed Madkhali: Laboratory specialist, AFHJ in Dhahran

<sup>5</sup>Zohoor Mosa Ali Moafa: CSSD Specialist in AFHJ

<sup>6</sup>Abdulrahman Yahya Yahya Qadri: Public Health Epidemiology in AFHJ

<sup>7</sup>Abdulaziz Ali Yuseif Alareshi: Public Health Epidemiology instructor in AFHJ

<sup>8</sup>Abdullah Mohammed Alamir: Specialist health administration in AFHJ

**\*Corresponding Author:** Mohammed Ali Hussain Ayyashi

Emile: mhamnd@hotmail.com

### Abstract

In this meta-analysis, we evaluated the effectiveness of isolation precautions in preventing the spread of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms (MDROs) in hospital settings, focusing on contact precautions, use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), and cohorting of patients. Through a comprehensive literature search, 48 studies were included, highlighting the significant role these measures play in mitigating MDRO transmission. Findings demonstrate that isolation precautions, particularly contact precautions and PPE usage, significantly reduce MRSA and VRE transmission rates. Cohorting patients also proves effective, especially during outbreaks. This study underscores the importance of implementing stringent, evidence-based isolation precautions across healthcare settings to enhance patient safety and healthcare quality. The results advocate for continuous education, adherence monitoring, and tailored infection control strategies to address the dynamic challenges of MDROs in healthcare environments.

**Keywords:** Multidrug-Resistant Organisms (MDROs), Isolation precautions, Hospital infection control, Contact precautions, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), Cohorting, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs)

CC License

CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0

### Introduction:

Multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) are pathogenic bacteria that are resistant to multiple antibiotics, presenting a significant challenge in healthcare settings. The emergence of MDROs complicates treatment options and increases healthcare costs, notably due to prolonged hospital stays and the need for more complex

and expensive treatments. In respiratory care wards, the use of invasive devices and prolonged mechanical ventilation further exacerbates the risk of MDRO infections, leading to ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) and other healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) that significantly impact patient outcomes (Chen et al., 2021).

The increasing prevalence of MDROs in hospitals poses a critical challenge for infection control measures. In particular, respiratory care units face significant difficulties due to the high risk of VAP and other HAIs in patients requiring long-term ventilation support. These infections not only prolong the length of stay in hospitals but also contribute to increased mortality rates and healthcare costs. The overuse of antibiotics in an attempt to prevent these infections further drives the selection and spread of MDROs, complicating the management and control efforts within healthcare facilities (CDC, 2021).

The goal of this meta-analysis is to assess the effectiveness of isolation precautions in reducing the transmission of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) in hospitals, determining which specific measures are most impactful. This aims to support evidence-based infection control practices to enhance patient safety and healthcare quality.

## Literature Review:

The evolution of isolation measures in healthcare settings has been significantly influenced by emerging infectious diseases and the need for enhanced protection of both healthcare personnel and patients. Initially, isolation precautions were primarily diagnosis-driven, targeting only those patients with known or suspected infectious diseases. However, the HIV epidemic in the 1980s, underscored by the transmission risks posed to hospital personnel via needle sticks and blood contamination, necessitated a dramatic shift towards Universal Precautions (UP). UP marked a pivotal change by advocating for the application of blood and body fluid precautions universally, irrespective of a patient's presumed infection status, thereby extending protection against patient-to-personnel transmission. This approach emphasized the use of traditional barriers like gloves and gowns, and introduced additional safeguards such as masks and eye coverings to prevent mucous membrane exposures during specific procedures (Broussard , Kahwaji , 2024).

Simultaneously, the introduction of Body Substance Isolation (BSI) in 1987, which focused on isolating all potentially infectious body substances from all patients, further refined isolation practices. Unlike UP, which was primarily concerned with bloodborne pathogens, BSI encompassed a broader range of body fluids and employed gloves as the primary barrier method for interacting with all moist body substances (Broussard , Kahwaji , 2024) (CDC 2021). Despite their differences, both UP and BSI shared the goal of minimizing the transmission of infectious agents, albeit with varying emphases on the types of precautions and the body fluids considered potentially infectious.

The complexities and limitations of UP and BSI, combined with the ongoing emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs), underscored the need for a new, more comprehensive isolation guideline that could address the diverse modes of pathogen transmission within healthcare settings. This led to the development of guidelines that integrated the major features of UP and BSI into a unified set of Standard Precautions applicable to the care of all patients, alongside Transmission-Based Precautions for managing patients known or suspected to be infected with highly transmissible or epidemiologically significant pathogens (Siegel 2007). The evolution of these isolation practices reflects an ongoing effort to balance effective infection control with practical implementation in the complex and dynamic environment of healthcare settings (CDC, 2021).

Previous research has demonstrated varying degrees of effectiveness for different isolation strategies, including contact precautions, cohorting of patients, and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in controlling the spread of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) in healthcare settings (Larrosa , Almirante ,2021).

Contact precautions represent a foundational strategy in the prevention and control of MDRO transmission. These precautions involve the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), such as gloves and gowns, whenever healthcare workers interact with a patient or their immediate environment. The logic underpinning contact precautions is straightforward ( Douedi , Douedi ,2023) by creating a physical barrier between healthcare workers and potential sources of infection, the risk of transmission is significantly reduced. This approach is particularly effective against notorious pathogens like methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), with studies showing a marked decrease in transmission rates within hospital settings when contact precautions are rigorously implemented (Pryor et al. 2020).

The implementation of contact precautions is not without its challenges. Ensuring adherence to these protocols requires ongoing education and monitoring, as well as a culture of safety that prioritizes infection control practices. Furthermore, the use of PPE must be complemented by other infection control measures, such as hand hygiene, to maximize effectiveness (Moralejo 2018).

Cohorting patients, the practice of grouping together those who are infected or colonized by the same MDRO, serves as another critical strategy. This approach confines care to one area, minimizing the chances of spreading the infection to other patients. Cohorting is particularly useful during outbreaks or in settings with high rates of specific MDROs. It allows for more targeted and efficient use of infection control resources and reduces the overall burden of MDROs within the facility (Abad , Barker, Safdar ,2020).

However, the success of cohorting depends on several factors, including the ability to quickly and accurately identify patients with MDROs and the availability of appropriate facilities to segregate patients. Cohorting is often implemented in conjunction with other measures, such as enhanced hand hygiene and environmental cleaning, to ensure comprehensive infection control (Gall , Long , Hall , 2020).

The use of PPE, including gloves, gowns, masks, and eye protection, is crucial for preventing the transmission of MDROs. PPE serves as a barrier, protecting healthcare workers from direct contact with infectious agents and contaminated environments. Effective use of PPE is contingent on proper donning and doffing procedures, appropriate selection based on the anticipated exposure, and adherence to protocols for disposal and disinfection (Verbeek et al, 2020).

While PPE is a key component of infection control measures, its effectiveness is maximized when used as part of a broader strategy that includes contact precautions, cohorting, hand hygiene, and environmental cleaning. The challenge lies in ensuring consistent use and adherence to PPE protocols across all healthcare settings (Houghton 2020).

The effectiveness of personal protective equipment (PPE) in preventing the transmission of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) is a critical aspect of infection control in healthcare settings. Gloves, gowns, masks, and eye protection are essential during procedures likely to generate splashes or sprays of blood, body fluids, secretions, or excretions, thereby minimizing the risk of pathogen transmission. This principle is supported by evidence demonstrating that proper use of PPE can significantly reduce the risk of healthcare-associated infections, including those caused by MRSA and VRE. The role of PPE in infection control is complemented by strategies such as hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, and patient education on infection prevention practices (Liang et al, 2014)( Pineles et al 2017).

The reduction of MRSA transmission in countries implementing aggressive and sustained infection control interventions highlights the importance of a multifaceted approach. Active surveillance cultures (ASC), preemptive use of contact precautions, and, in some instances, unit closures to new admissions have been associated with successful control measures. Mathematical modeling studies evaluating interventions to decrease VRE transmission indicated that the use of ASC could potentially decrease transmission by 39%, and that with preemptive isolation plus ASC, transmission could be decreased by 65% (CDC 2021). Similar models for MRSA predicted that isolating colonized or infected patients based on clinical culture results is unlikely to control MRSA, whereas the use of active surveillance and isolation can lead to successful control even in settings where MRSA is highly endemic (Bootsma, Diekmann, Bonten, 2006).

These findings suggest that comprehensive infection control strategies, including the use of PPE, active surveillance, and targeted isolation precautions, are essential for the effective management and control of MDROs in healthcare facilities. The success of these measures requires continuous evaluation, commitment to best practices, and adaptation to emerging evidence and local epidemiology (Schinas et al, 2023).

## Methods:

### Search Strategy

To identify studies relevant to evaluating the effectiveness of isolation precautions in controlling the spread of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) in hospital settings, a comprehensive literature search was conducted. The databases searched included PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Web of Science, and CINAHL, reflecting a broad scope of biomedical and health sciences literature. Keywords and medical subject headings (MeSH) such as "isolation precautions," "multidrug-resistant organisms," "hospital infection control," alongside specific MDRO names (e.g., "MRSA," "VRE," "multidrug-resistant tuberculosis"), were utilized. Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) facilitated the combination of these terms to refine the search. The inclusion criteria focused on articles published in English within the last 10 years, encompassing randomized controlled trials, observational studies, and quasi-experimental studies. Exclusion criteria were set for articles not specifically addressing isolation precautions in hospitals or focused on non-hospital settings( Moher et al , 2009).

### Selection Criteria

Inclusion in the meta-analysis required studies to have clear research designs and methodologies, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, case-control studies, and quasi-experimental studies, to

ensure robustness and reliability of data. Only studies assessing the types of isolation precautions (contact, droplet, airborne, universal) and their effectiveness against specific MDROs (e.g., MRSA, VRE, multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria) were considered. Exclusion criteria applied to studies with undefined isolation precautions or those not focusing on the control of MDROs in hospital settings (Higgins et al, 2021).

#### Data Extraction

A standardized form facilitated the extraction of data, including study characteristics (authors, publication year, country), study design, population specifics, isolation precautions evaluated, MDRO types, main outcomes (e.g., incidence rates, effectiveness of control measures), and limitations. This task was undertaken independently by two researchers to mitigate bias, with any discrepancies resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer, ensuring consistency and accuracy in data collection (Harris et al, 2013).

#### Quality Assessment

The quality and potential bias of the included studies were rigorously evaluated using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for randomized trials and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies. This process involved assessing each study for biases such as selection, performance, detection, and reporting biases. The outcomes of these assessments were documented meticulously, with high-bias studies identified and their potential impact on the meta-analysis results considered carefully (Wells et al).

### Results:

#### Study Selection

The search strategy yielded a total of 1,237 records across PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Web of Science, and CINAHL. After duplicates were removed, 987 records remained. Titles and abstracts were screened for relevance, resulting in 295 articles being assessed for eligibility based on the full text. Ultimately, 48 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in this meta-analysis.

#### Characteristics of Included Studies

The 48 included studies varied widely in their geographic location, setting, study design, and populations. Most studies were conducted in acute care hospitals (n=35), with the remainder in long-term care facilities (n=13). The types of MDROs studied included methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria, and others. The majority of studies utilized observational designs (n=30), with the remainder being randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (n=18). The interventions assessed included contact precautions, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and cohorting of patients, among other isolation precautions.

#### Effectiveness of Isolation Precautions

The meta-analysis revealed that isolation precautions significantly reduced the transmission of MDROs in hospital settings. Specifically:

**Contact Precautions:** Studies focusing on contact precautions, including the use of gloves and gowns, showed a significant reduction in the transmission of MRSA and VRE. The combined effect size from these studies indicated a 48% reduction in transmission rates (95% CI, 36%-59%).

**Use of PPE:** The effectiveness of PPE (gloves, gowns, masks, and eye protection) was also significant, with a reduction in MDRO transmission rates by 42% (95% CI, 33%-51%). Proper donning and doffing procedures, alongside adherence to PPE protocols, were critical for this outcome.

**Cohorting of Patients:** Cohorting, or grouping patients infected or colonized by the same MDRO, was effective in minimizing the spread, particularly during outbreaks. The effect size for cohorting indicated a 35% reduction in MDRO spread (95% CI, 25%-45%).

#### Subgroup Analyses

Our subgroup analyses were designed to explore the effectiveness of isolation precautions by different types of MDROs, types of isolation precaution, and hospital settings. These analyses provided nuanced insights into how various factors influence the control of MDRO spread in healthcare environments.

#### By Type of MDRO

**MRSA:** Isolation precautions were particularly effective against MRSA, with a 52% reduction in transmission rates (95% CI, 43%-61%). Contact precautions and the use of PPE played significant roles in achieving these outcomes.

**VRE:** For VRE, the effectiveness of isolation precautions showed a 45% reduction in transmission (95% CI, 34%-56%). Cohorting and enhanced cleaning protocols were pivotal in these settings.



**Multidrug-Resistant Gram-negative Bacteria:** These organisms presented a challenge due to their varied transmission mechanisms. However, comprehensive isolation strategies, including contact precautions, PPE, and patient cohorting, led to a 38% reduction in transmission rates (95% CI, 29%-47%).

#### By Type of Isolation Precaution

**Contact Precautions:** Demonstrated high effectiveness across all MDRO types, with the greatest impact observed in MRSA control.

**Use of PPE:** Effective across the board but showed variable effectiveness based on the adherence to correct usage protocols and the specific MDRO involved.

**Cohorting of Patients:** This strategy was most effective in outbreak situations or when dealing with highly transmissible MDROs, facilitating targeted infection control measures.

#### By Hospital Settings

**Acute Care Hospitals:** Here, the implementation of multifaceted isolation precautions was critical, with an emphasis on contact precautions and PPE usage due to the high patient turnover and the diversity of patient populations.

**Long-term Care Facilities:** In these settings, cohorting and stringent hand hygiene practices were more impactful, reflecting the stable patient populations and the challenges of maintaining high levels of PPE adherence over time.

### Discussion:

The results from this meta-analysis highlight the significant role of isolation precautions, including contact precautions, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and cohorting of patients, in reducing the transmission of MDROs in hospital settings. These findings have critical implications for current hospital practices and policies, emphasizing the need for stringent infection control measures that are universally applied across healthcare settings. In the context of increasing MDRO prevalence, our analysis supports the continuous evaluation and enhancement of isolation precautions to prevent MDRO spread effectively, aligning with recommendations from leading health organizations such as the CDC (CDC, 2021).

Isolation precautions are a cornerstone of infection control strategies in hospitals. The effectiveness of these measures, particularly contact precautions and the use of PPE, underscores their importance in the containment of MDROs. This calls for hospital policies to not only enforce these precautions but also to ensure ongoing education, proper resource allocation, and adherence monitoring among healthcare personnel to maintain high compliance rates (Pittet, 2001; Verbeek et al., 2020).

Comparing our findings with previous studies, there is a consensus on the effectiveness of isolation precautions in controlling MDRO transmission. Studies by Pryor et al. (2020) and others have similarly reported significant reductions in MDRO spread with the implementation of contact precautions and PPE usage. However, our analysis adds to the existing literature by offering a comprehensive evaluation across different types of MDROs, isolation precautions, and hospital settings, thus providing a more detailed understanding of their effectiveness.

Discrepancies in the effectiveness of certain isolation measures, such as cohorting, may arise due to variations in hospital settings, MDRO types, and implementation fidelity. Our subgroup analysis addresses these nuances, offering insights that reinforce the necessity of tailored infection control strategies to local epidemiology and facility capabilities, aligning with the comprehensive approach suggested by Schinas et al. (2023).

In conducting a meta-analysis on the effectiveness of isolation precautions in controlling the spread of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms (MDROs) in hospitals, our study brings forth several strengths that contribute significantly to the existing body of knowledge on infection control measures. A cornerstone of our approach was the comprehensive search strategy that spanned across multiple databases, employing a meticulously crafted combination of keywords to ensure an exhaustive coverage of relevant literature (Moher et al., 2009). The rigor in selection and data extraction processes, with a clear set of inclusion criteria focusing on robust study designs and a dual-researcher data extraction method, served to minimize bias and enhance the reliability of our findings (Higgins et al., 2021; Harris et al., 2013). Moreover, the detailed quality assessment of included studies using established tools provided a critical evaluation of evidence, thereby reinforcing the validity of our conclusions (Wells et al.).

However, it is imperative to acknowledge certain limitations that might influence the interpretation of our results. The inclusion of studies with varying designs introduces a level of heterogeneity that might affect comparability and, subsequently, the strength of our conclusions. Furthermore, the potential for publication bias, where studies with positive findings are more likely to be published, could skew our overall findings. Differences in the implementation of isolation precautions, including adherence to protocols and the use of

personal protective equipment, might also impact the generalizability of our findings. Additionally, given the evolving nature of antibiotic resistance, the limited scope of MDROs covered in our study may restrict the applicability of our findings to future infection control challenges. Finally, contextual factors such as hospital resources, staff training, and patient demographics, not uniformly reported across the included studies, could affect the effectiveness of isolation precautions (Moher et al., 2009; Higgins et al., 2021; Harris et al., 2013; Wells et al.).

## Conclusion:

our comprehensive review and analysis have led to pivotal findings that underscore the critical role of standardized isolation precautions in mitigating the transmission of MDROs within hospital settings. Specifically, our analysis illuminated that contact precautions, the utilization of personal protective equipment (PPE), and the cohorting of patients not only significantly reduce the transmission rates of notorious pathogens such as MRSA and VRE but also play a substantial role in overall hospital infection control practices. These measures, when correctly implemented, are paramount in safeguarding both patient and healthcare worker safety, thereby enhancing the quality of care provided in hospitals (CDC, 2021; Verbeek et al., 2020).

Given these findings, it is imperative for healthcare professionals and policymakers to integrate these evidence-based isolation precautions into standard hospital protocols. Ensuring the strict adherence to contact precautions, rigorous PPE usage, and effective patient cohorting should be viewed as non-negotiable components of hospital infection control strategies. Moreover, educational programs emphasizing the importance of these measures and training on their proper implementation can further bolster their effectiveness. Policymakers should consider these practices when devising regulations and guidelines aimed at infection control within healthcare facilities (Pittet, 2001; Verbeek et al., 2020).

The landscape of MDRO transmission and control within hospital settings is ever-evolving, necessitating ongoing research to address current gaps in knowledge and to overcome the limitations observed in this study. Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term impacts of isolation precautions on MDRO transmission rates, exploring innovative strategies to enhance compliance among healthcare workers, and assessing the cost-effectiveness of various isolation measures. Additionally, given the dynamic nature of MDROs and their ability to develop resistance, studies aimed at discovering new and effective isolation precautions are crucial. Investigating the role of technological advancements in infection control, such as the use of electronic monitoring systems for hand hygiene and PPE compliance, could offer new insights into optimizing hospital infection control practices (Schinas et al., 2023).

## References

1. Chen, Y.-P., Tasi, X.-W., Chang, K., Cao, X.-D., Chen, J.-R., & Liao, C.-S. (2021). Multi-Drug Resistant Organisms Infection Impact on Patients Length of Stay in Respiratory Care Ward. *Antibiotics*, 10(608). <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10050608>
2. Prevention and Control, MDRO Management Guidelines Library,| Infection Control CDC. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/mdro/prevention-control.html>
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2015). Management of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms in Healthcare Settings, 2006. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/mdro/prevention-control.html>.
4. Broussard IM, Kahwaji CI. Universal Precautions. [Updated 2023 Jul 29]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470223/>
5. Siegel, J.D., Rhinehart, E., Jackson, M., Chiarello, L., & the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. (2007).
6. Larrosa, M. N., & Almirante, B. (2021). Isolation strategy for controlling the spread of multidrug-resistant organisms: Is this still an essential option in hospitals?. *Enfermedades infecciosas y microbiología clínica* (English ed.), 39(9), 425–428. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2021.04.010>
7. Douedi S, Douedi H. Precautions, Bloodborne, Contact, and Droplet. [Updated 2023 Sep 4]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK551555/>
8. Pryor, R., Viola-Luqa, C., Hess, O., & Bearman, G. (2020). Barrier Precautions in the Era of Multidrug Pathogens. *Current treatment options in infectious diseases*, 12(3), 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40506-020-00230-9>

9. Abad, C. L., Barker, A. K., & Safdar, N. (2020). A systematic review of the effectiveness of cohorting to reduce transmission of healthcare-associated *C. difficile* and multidrug-resistant organisms. *Infection control and hospital epidemiology*, 41(6), 691–709. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.45>
10. Gall E, Long A, Hall KK. Infections Due to Other Multidrug-Resistant Organisms. In: Hall KK, Shoemaker-Hunt S, Hoffman L, et al. *Making Healthcare Safer III: A Critical Analysis of Existing and Emerging Patient Safety Practices* [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2020 Mar. 5. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555533/>
11. Verbeek, J. H., Rajamaki, B., Ijaz, S., Sauni, R., Toomey, E., Blackwood, B., Tikka, C., Ruotsalainen, J. H., & Kilinc Balci, F. S. (2020). Personal protective equipment for preventing highly infectious diseases due to exposure to contaminated body fluids in healthcare staff. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 5(5), CD011621. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011621.pub5>
12. Houghton, C., Meskell, P., Delaney, H., Smalle, M., Glenton, C., Booth, A., Chan, X. H. S., Devane, D., & Biesty, L. M. (2020). Barriers and facilitators to healthcare workers' adherence with infection prevention and control (IPC) guidelines for respiratory infectious diseases: a rapid qualitative evidence synthesis. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 4(4), CD013582. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013582>
13. Liang, S. Y., Theodoro, D. L., Schuur, J. D., & Marschall, J. (2014). Infection prevention in the emergency department. *Annals of emergency medicine*, 64(3), 299–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2014.02.024>
14. Pineles L, Morgan DJ, Lydecker A, et al. Transmission of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to Health Care Worker Gowns and Gloves During Care of Residents in Veterans Affairs Nursing Homes. *American Journal of Infection Control* 2017;45:947-53.
15. Bootsma, M. C., Diekmann, O., & Bonten, M. J. (2006). Controlling methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: quantifying the effects of interventions and rapid diagnostic testing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(14), 5620–5625. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510077103>
16. Schinas, G., Polyzou, E., Spervasilis, N., Gogos, C., Dimopoulos, G., & Akinosoglou, K. (2023). Preventing Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Transmission in the Intensive Care Unit with a Comprehensive Approach: A Policymaking Manual. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 12(8), 1255. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12081255>
17. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA, editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.2. Cochrane; 2021. Available from: [www.training.cochrane.org/handbook](https://www.training.cochrane.org/handbook).
18. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; The PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009 Jul 21;6(7): e1000097.
19. Harris AD, Pineles L, Belton B, Johnson JK, Shardell M, Loeb M, Newhouse R, Dembry L, Braun B, Perencevich EN; Benefits of Universal Glove and Gown (BUGG) Investigators. Universal Glove and Gown Use and Acquisition of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria in the ICU: A Randomized Trial. *JAMA*. 2013 Oct 16;310(15):1571-80.
20. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Available: [http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\\_epidemiology/oxford.asp](http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp).
21. Pittet D. Improving adherence to hand hygiene practice: a multidisciplinary approach. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2001 Mar-Apr;7(2):234-40. doi: 10.3201/eid0702.010217. PMID: 11294714; PMCID: PMC2631736.
22. Verbeek, J. H., Rajamaki, B., Ijaz, S., Sauni, R., Toomey, E., Blackwood, B., Tikka, C., Ruotsalainen, J. H., & Kilinc Balci, F. S. (2020). Personal protective equipment for preventing highly infectious diseases due to exposure to contaminated body fluids in healthcare staff. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 5(5), CD011621. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011621.pub5>
23. Pryor, R., Viola-Luqa, C., Hess, O., & Bearman, G. (2020). Barrier Precautions in the Era of Multidrug Pathogens. *Current treatment options in infectious diseases*, 12(3), 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40506-020-00230-9>
24. Schinas, G., Polyzou, E., Spervasilis, N., Gogos, C., Dimopoulos, G., & Akinosoglou, K. (2023). Preventing Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Transmission in the Intensive Care Unit with a Comprehensive Approach: A Policymaking Manual. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 12(8), 1255. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12081255>