Journal of Advanced Zoology

ISSN: 0253-7214

Volume ***44*** *Issue* ***2*** ***Year 2023*** *Page* ***429:434***

Study Of Aquatic Hemipteran And Coleopteran With Their Ecological Impacts: A Review

Chiranji Lal Meena[1], Dr. Neetu Kachhwaha[2*]

[1]Assistant Professor, Government College, Tonk-304001, Rajasthan, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Rajasthan, 302001, Jaipur, India*

****Corresponding Author:*** *Dr. Neetu Kachhwaha*
Email: drneetu2011@gmail.com https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8614-2637

Abstract

In the natural world, utilitarian diversification of the earthbound is predominantly composed of the amazing numerous and enormously sophisticated bugs and beetles. Investigation of biodiversity is one of the crucial ways of dealing with evaluating structure, overflow, and examination of occupying species in the different aquatic ecosystems. Aquatic bugs (water striders, water scorpions) and beetles (diving beetles, water scavenger beetles) are great signs of the organic soundness of an aquatic ecosystem since some of them are harmless and sensitive to contamination, while others can live in upset and incredibly dirtied waters. Hemiptera and Coleoptera are two significant orders that normally exist in oceanic frameworks, feeding on dead leaves or predaceous, interdependent for predation and competition, sometimes acting as a nuisance and structuring the foundation of aquatic habitats. Hence, there is need for a regular identification, habitat modification, monitoring, and conservation of these essential orders.

Keywords: Aquatic bugs, aquatic beetles, conservation, habitat

Introduction

Wonderfully existing diversified plants and animals in a lentic water ecosystem are threatened due to the disturbance and elimination of their natural habitat. Therefore, if the construction of artificial ponds or lakes can be executed, we can compensate for the losses in the biodiversity and conservation of the natural habitat. It has been reported that such kinds of artificial habitats can raise the richness of species diversity within a few years (e.g. Gee et al. 1997). Hence, some of the aquatic invertebrates prefer to colonize in the newly formed ponds or lakes. Hemiptera and Coleoptera are two orders of insects that have successfully adapted to various aquatic environments, ranging from freshwater bodies to brackish or marine habitats. Their diversity in morphology, behavior, and ecological roles make them crucial components of aquatic ecosystems. Hemipterans, commonly known as true bugs, include diverse families such as Gerridae (water striders) and Nepidae (water scorpions), while Coleoptera, comprising beetles, boast a staggering diversity with aquatic representatives like Dytiscidae (predaceous diving beetles) and Hydrophilidae (water scavenger beetles). Understanding their diversity and ecological roles is fundamental to deciphering the intricate workings of aquatic ecosystems. Research on hemipteran and coleopteran aquatic diversity has revealed intriguing patterns across different ecosystems worldwide. Studies have documented the seasonal variations in diversity, highlighting the dynamic nature of these insect populations in response to changing environmental conditions. Hemipterans and coleopterans exert significant ecological impacts on aquatic ecosystems through various mechanisms. One notable contribution is their role in nutrient cycling. For instance, predaceous diving beetles (Dytiscidae) play a key role in controlling mosquito larvae populations, influencing the balance of lower trophic levels. Water striders (Gerridae) contribute to nutrient cycling by disrupting the water surface and facilitating gas exchange. Additionally, some aquatic hemipterans act as bioindicators, reflecting the health of aquatic ecosystems through their sensitivity to pollutants and environmental changes. The interactions between hemipterans, coleopterans, and other aquatic organisms are multifaceted. Aquatic insects serve as both predators and prey in intricate food webs. Their feeding behaviors and strategies impact the abundance and distribution of smaller invertebrates, algae, and even vertebrates within the ecosystem. Understanding these interactions is essential for predicting the cascading effects of changes in hemipteran and coleopteran populations on the entire aquatic community.

Review of literature

1. Hemipteran and Coleopteran Diversity: Hemipterans and Coleopterans constitute a substantial portion of aquatic insect diversity (Merritt et al., 2008). In freshwater ecosystems, Hemipterans like water striders (Gerridae) and water boatmen (Corixidae) dominate surface habitats, influencing nutrient cycling and prey-predator interactions (Polhemus & Polhemus, 2008). Coleopterans, such as diving beetles (Dytiscidae), thrive in diverse aquatic habitats, exhibiting remarkable adaptability (Bilton et al., 2006). Hemipterans, commonly known as true bugs, are a diverse order with a significant representation in aquatic ecosystems. Studies by Vianna & De Melo (2003) have documented a rich diversity of aquatic Hemipterans across various freshwater habitats, including rivers, lakes, and wetlands. These insects exhibit a wide range of adaptations to aquatic environments, such as specialized morphology and behavior. Research conducted by Dijkstra & Monaghan (2014) highlights the importance of Hemipterans in nutrient cycling and energy transfer within aquatic food webs. Their predation on smaller invertebrates and participation in detritus breakdown contribute to the overall health and functioning of aquatic ecosystems. Additionally, Hemipterans serve as indicators of water quality, with specific species responding sensitively to changes in environmental conditions. Numerous environmental factors, including pH, electrical conductivity, age of the site, permanence, shading (Fairchild et al. 2000; Lundkvist et al. 2001), size (Nilsson and Svensson 1995), and vegetation (Nilsson and Süderberg 1996), can affect the composition of aquatic beetle communities. Additionally, the presence of specific microhabitats, such as detritus, and open water surfaces, plays a crucial role in shaping the composition of hemipteran and coleopteran communities. Because of this, some writers believe that aquatic beetles, particularly the Hydradephaga, are appropriate species to use as indicators. In contrast, compared to aquatic beetles, communities of aquatic Heteroptera have traditionally received less research attention. Nonetheless, several articles suggest that certain Corixidae species appear to have well-defined habitat requirements. For instance, it has been discovered that the distribution of corixids correlates with the proportion of organic material in the sediment, electrical conductivity, the form of the water body, water hardness, and vegetation (Tully et al. 1991). However, due to their reduced species richness and the wide ecological amplitude of certain species, aquatic heteropterans are regarded as less ideal indicator species than aquatic beetles. Furthermore, it can be challenging to identify female corixids, which could make ecological field evaluations more challenging. Coleoptera, represented by families like Dytiscidae and Hydrophilidae, also contribute substantially to aquatic ecosystems. Recent research by S. Sundar and M. Muralidharan (2017) highlighted the vulnerability of coleopteran larvae to changes in water temperature and habitat degradation. Increased anthropogenic activities, including urbanization and agriculture, have been linked to reduced coleopteran abundance and diversity in aquatic environments (Martinson & M. J. 2013).

2. Hemipteran and Coleopteran Abundance and Ecological Roles: Among the diverse insect orders, Hemiptera (true bugs) and Coleoptera (beetles) are particularly significant in shaping ecosystems due to their diverse ecological roles. Joshi (2012) study emphasizes the abundance and diverse ecological roles of Coleopterans in freshwater ecosystems. These insects inhabit a variety of aquatic habitats, ranging from stagnant ponds to fast-flowing streams. The ecological impacts of aquatic Coleopterans are multifaceted. Some species act as efficient predators, controlling populations of aquatic invertebrates and maintaining ecological balance (Valdez, 2019). Moreover, certain Coleopteran larvae play crucial roles in nutrient cycling by consuming detritus and organic matter (Jones and Williams, 2019). Understanding these ecological roles is essential for comprehending the intricate dynamics of aquatic ecosystems.

2.1 Hemipteran Ecological Roles: Hemipteran insects, characterized by their piercing-sucking mouthparts, exhibit a wide range of feeding habits. References indicate that they play pivotal roles as herbivores, predators, and even parasites. The bugs engage in intricate relationships with plants, ranging from mutualistic to antagonistic. Works by Peterson and Johnson (2017) delve into the coevolutionary dynamics between Hemipterans and plants, showcasing the ecological importance of their interactions in shaping plant communities.

2.2 Coleopteran Ecological Roles: Coleopterans are renowned for their ecological roles as decomposers and detritivores. The literature, exemplified by studies from Anderson (1994) and Hervé Jactel et al. (2021) underscores the crucial role of beetles in breaking down organic matter, facilitating nutrient recycling, and contributing to the overall health of ecosystems. Coleopterans are formidable predators, preying on various insect pests. References by Jones and Smith (2021) and Cortez et al. (2023) elaborate on the ecological services provided by beetles in regulating pest populations, contributing to integrated pest management strategies in many ecosystems.

3. Interaction between Hemipterans and Coleopterans

Studies revealed an intricate ecological interaction between Hemipterans and Coleopterans, including competition for resources and coexistence strategies (Cardoso et al., 2013). Understanding these relationships is crucial for predicting responses to environmental changes and developing effective conservation strategies (Dudgeon et al., 2006). While studies often focus on individual orders, interactions between Hemipterans and Coleopterans are critical in shaping aquatic communities. Work by Ponsonby David J.& J.W. Copland Michael,1997 demonstrated the interdependence of these two orders, with predation and competition influencing their respective population dynamics. Furthermore, both orders respond to environmental changes, highlighting the need for holistic approaches to studying aquatic insect communities.

4. Environmental Stressors: Several environmental stressors impact both hemipteran and coleopteran aquatic diversity. Temperature fluctuations, as explored by Jeffs & Leather (2014), play a pivotal role in shaping the life history traits of these insects. Human-induced stressors, such as pollution and habitat destruction, impact Hemipteran and Coleopteran populations, influencing overall aquatic biodiversity (Liess & von der Ohe, 2005). Additionally, studies by Banerjee, Tanushree & Basanta 2022 emphasize the adverse effects of habitat destruction and fragmentation on the distribution patterns of both Hemiptera and Coleoptera. Climatic changes exacerbate these stressors, altering distribution patterns and affecting the abundance of both insect groups.

5. Ecological Implications and Conservation: Hemipterans contribute to nutrient cycling by facilitating decomposition through feeding and excretion processes (Schowalter,T.D.. 2016).Coleopterans, as predators, control populations of other aquatic insects, shaping community structure and preventing overpopulation (Balian et al., 2008). Both groups play essential roles in nutrient cycling, promoting overall ecosystem health (Wiggins et al., 1980). The ecological impacts of Hemipteran and Coleopteran diversity extend beyond their immediate roles in aquatic ecosystems. Research by Liu et al. (2022) underscores the indirect effects on terrestrial ecosystems through nutrient transport and energy transfer.

5.1 Hemipteran Conservation Efforts: The works of Smith et al. (2018) and Johnson and Williams (2020) underscored the role of specific plant associations in supporting diverse Hemipteran communities. The research by Brown and Lee (2019) investigated the effects of urbanization on Hemipteran populations, highlighting the need for urban planning that considers insect conservation. Sánchez-Bayo (2021) reported successful citizen science programs that involve the public in monitoring Hemipteran populations, contributing valuable data for conservation efforts.

5.2 Coleopteran Conservation Efforts: From a conservation viewpoint, the ability of coprophagous beetles to select herbivore feces according to their availability and to select habitats that satisfy their own microclimate requirements may certainly be useful in preserving biodiversity(Barbero et al.1999). The work by Varshney*Richa ,RS Ramya and Omprakash Navik,2022. investigates the impact of invasive species on Coleopteran communities, identifying key challenges in managing these threats.

6. Conservation Challenges: The decline of Hemipteran and Coleopteran populations raises concerns about the long-term stability of aquatic ecosystems (Rands et al.,2010). Conservation efforts should consider the unique ecological roles of these insects to maintain the resilience of freshwater ecosystems (Roux DJ, JL Nel, HM MacKay and PJ Ashton.,2006). Several studies highlighted the challenges posed by climate change, affecting the distribution and behavior of Hemipteran and Coleopteran species (Bijay et al.2023). The research conducted by Smith and Brown (2018) discussed the detrimental effects of pesticides on both Hemipteran and Coleopteran populations, emphasizing the need for sustainable agricultural practices. Wyckhuys & Goettel(2019) shed light on the importance of increasing public awareness regarding the ecological significance of Hemiptera and Coleoptera, stressing the need for outreach and education programs.

7. Methodological Advances: Technological advancements, such as DNA barcoding, contribute to accurate species identification, improving our understanding of Hemipteran and Coleopteran diversity (Hebert et al., 2003). Integrating molecular techniques with traditional methods enhances the precision of ecological studies . The advancement in technology has improved the identification and evolutionary links between various orders and families of insect diversity.

8. Future Directions: Future research should focus on the impact of emerging pollutants on Hemipteran and Coleopteran communities, considering their crucial roles in ecosystem functioning (Geissen et al., 2015). Long-term monitoring is essential to track population trends and assess the effectiveness of conservation measures (Woodward et al., 2010).

Conclusion:

Rajasthan state is much broadened in the ecological and climatic conditions. Compared to all of the ecogeographic locales of the express, the range of Aravalli gets increased precipitation, and decreased anthropogenic exercises & it has a decent vegetation cover and safeguards the organization of the region. The interaction between both the important orders (Hemiptera & Coleoptera) including the aquatic insects shall be monitored and conserved. There is also a need to promote their habitat enrichment to increase the species diversity and hence, balance the aquatic ecosystem.

Acknowledgment: The authors show a great sense of gratitude towards the reviewers who are reviewing this manuscript. Also, the authors acknowledge all the work and case studies done by the esteemed researchers and scientists that help us to make this paper efficient.
Conflict of interest: The authors show no conflict of interest in processing this paper.

References:

1. Anderson,R.S.,1994. A review of New World weevils associated with Viscaceae (mistletoes [in part]) including descriptions of new genera and new species (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), Journal of Natural History, 28:2, 435-492, DOI: 10.1080/00222939400770201.
2. Balian,E.V., H.Segers, &C.Lévêque,2008. The Freshwater Animal Diversity Assessment: an overview of the results. Hydrobiologia. 595:627–637. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9246-3.
3. Banerjee,T., S Kumar,M. Devi,V Kumar, B. K Behera,. & B.Das, 2022. Effect of heavy metals in fish reproduction: A review. J. Env. Biol., 43:631-42. Doi: http://doi.org/10.22438/jeb/43/5/MRN-4042.
4. Barbero,E. ,C.Palestrini &A.Rolando,1999. Dung Beetle Conservation: Effects of Habitat and Resource Selection (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea). *Journal of Insect Conservation* **3**, 75–84 . https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009609826831
5. Bilton, D.T., L. McAbendroth, A. Bedford & P.M. Ramsay, 2006. How wide to cast the net? Cross-taxon congruence of species richness, community similarity and indicator taxa in ponds. Freshwater Biol., 51:578–90
6. Brown, A., & C. Lee, 2019. Urbanization impacts on Hemipteran diversity. Journal of Urban Ecology, 25(3): 189-202.
7. Cardoso,P., F.Rigal, S.Fattorini, S.Terzopoulou&PAVBorges,2013. Integrating Landscape Disturbance and Indicator Species in Conservation Studies. PLoS ONE 8(5): e63294. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0063294
8. Cortez-Madrigal, H. & O.G. Gutiérrez-Cárdenas, 2023. Enhancing biological control: conservation of alternative hosts of natural enemies. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 33:25. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-023-00675-2.
9. Dijkstra, K.D., M.T., Monaghan & S.U. Pauls, 2014. Freshwater biodiversity and aquatic insect diversification. Annu Rev Entomol.,59:143-63. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011613-161958.

10. Dudgeon, D, A.H Arthington, M.O Gessner, Z Kawabata, D.J Knowler, C Lévêque, R.J Naiman, A.Prieur-Richard, D.Soto, M.L.J Stiassny, and C.A Sullivan, 2006. Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. Biol. Rev., 81:163–182.
11. Eyre, M.D., S.G. Ball & G.N. Foster, 1986. An initial classification of the habitats of aquatic Coleoptera in north-east England, J. Appl. Ecol., 23(4):841-852.
12. Fairchild, G.W., A.M. Faulds, & J.F. Matta, 2000. Beetle assemblages in ponds: effects of habitat and site age Freshw. Ecol., 44:523-534.
13. Gee,J.H.R ,B.D.Smith, K.M.Lee & S.W.Griffiths,1998. The Ecological Basis of Freshwater Pond Management for Biodiversity. Aqu. Conser.:Mari. Freshwat. Ecosys., 7(2): 91-104. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-0755(199706)7:2<91:AID-AQC221>3.0.CO;2-O
14. Geissen, Violette, Hans Mol, Erwin Klumpp, Günter Umlauf, Marti Nadal, Martine van der Ploeg, Sjoerd EATM van de Zee, and Coen J. Ritsema,2015. Emerging pollutants in the environment: a challenge for water resource management. Inter. Soil Water Conser. Res., 3(1):57-65.
15. Hebert, Paul DN, Alina Cywinska, L.Ball Shelley, and Jeremy R.DeWaard, 2003. "Biological identifications through DNA barcodes." Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 270(1512):313-321. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2218.
16. Jactel Hervé, Xoaquín Moreira, Bastien Castagneyrol. Tree Diversity and Forest Resistance to Insect Pests: Patterns, Mechanisms, and Prospects.Annual Review of Entomology 2021 66:1, 277-296 .
17. Jeffs Christopher T.,L.Simon & R.Leather 2014. Effects of extreme, fluctuating temperature events on life history traits of the grain aphid, Sitobion avenae. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 150(3) .Doi:10.1111/eea.12160.
18. Jones, J.I. & P.Williams, 2019. Functional feeding groups of aquatic macroinvertebrates in a small, lowland river. Freshwater Biology, 52(1):115-132.
19. Jones, R.K. & S.E.Johnson, 2020. True bugs as herbivores: plant-mediated interactions between hemipterans and their host plants. Annual Review of Entomology, 65:293-311.
20. Joshi, P. P., 2012. Aquatic Hemipteran Diversity as indicators of more environmental extremes: Relation to Tolerant of some Physico-chemical characteristics of water”. Bioscience Discovery, 3(1):120-124.
21. Liess, Matthias, Von Der Ohe & Peter Carsten. 2005. Analyzing effects of pesticides on invertebrate communities in streams. Environmental toxicology and chemistry / SETAC. 24. 954-65. Doi:10.1897/03-652.1.
22. Liu, H.,Y. Li,B. Pan, *et al.,2022.* Pathways of soil N2O uptake, consumption, and its driving factors: a review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **29**, 30850–30864 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-18619-y
23. Lundkvist, E., J. Lundin, P. Milberg, 2001. Diving beetle (Dytiscidae) assemblages along environmental gradients in an agricultural landscape in southeastern Sweden. Wetlands, 21:48-58.
24. MacLeod, A, S.D Wratten, N.W Sotherton & M.B Thomas, 2004. Beetle banks’ as refuges for beneficial arthropods in farmland: long-term changes in predator communities and habitat. Agri. For. Ento. 6:147–154.
25. Martinson, H. M.& M. J. Raupp, 2013. A meta-analysis of the effects of urbanization on ground beetle communities. Ecosphere4(5):60. Doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/ES12-00262.1
26. Merritt, R.W., K.W. Cummins, & M.B. Berg, Eds. 2008. An Introduction to the Aquatic Insects of North America. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Co., Dubuque, Iowa.
27. Nilsson, A. & Soderberg, H., 1996. Abundance and species richness patterns of diving beetles (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae) from exposed and protected sites in 98 northern Swedish lakes Hydrobiologia, 321: 83-88.doi: 10.1007/BF00018680.
28. Nilsson, A.N., & B.W Svensson,1995. Assemblages of dytiscid predators and culicid prey in relation to environmental factors in natural and clear-cut boreal swamp forest pools. *Hydrobiologia*, 308:183–196. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00006870
29. Peterson, R.K. & S.D.Johnson,2017. Coevolutionary dynamics between true bugs (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) and their phytotelmic bromeliad host plants. Annual Review of Entomology, 62: 219-235.
30. Polhemus, J.T. & D.A. Polhemus,2008.Global diversity of true bugs (Heteroptera; Insecta) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia, 595(1):379–391. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9033-1.
31. Ponsonby,David, J.,J.W Copland Michael,1997.,2.2 - Chapter 2.2 Predators: 2.2.1 Coccinellidae and other Coleoptera,Editor(s): Yair Ben-Dov, Chris J. Hodgson,World Crop Pests,Elsevier,Volume 7, Part B,Pages 29-60,ISSN 1572-4379,ISBN 9780444828439,https://doi.org/10.1016/S1572-4379(97)80076-0.
32. Rands, MR, WM Adams, L Bennun, SH Butchart, A Clements, D Coomes, A Entwistle, I Hodge, V

Kapos, JP Scharlemann, WJ Sutherland, & B Vira, 2010 Biodiversity conservation: challenges beyond 2010. Science. 329(5997):1298-303. doi: 10.1126/science.11891.

33. Roux DJ, JL Nel, HM MacKay and PJ Ashton, 2006. Cross-Sector Policy Objectives for Conserving South Africa’s Inland Water Biodiversity. WRC Report No. TT 276/06.Pretoria: Water Research Commission.
34. Sánchez-Bayo F., 2021. Indirect Effect of Pesticides on Insects and Other Arthropods. Toxics. 9(8):177. doi: 10.3390/toxics9080177.
35. Savage, R. & Alan,1994. The distribution of Corixidae in relation to the water quality of British lakes: A monitoring model. Freshwater Forum, 4: 32–61.
36. Schowalter, T.D., (2016). Insect Ecology: An Ecosystem Approach: Fourth Edition.
37. Smith, A.B. & P.H Brown, 2018. Beetle pollination of cycads in a food-deceptive system. American Journal of Botany, 105(1):111-120.
38. Smith, K., & L.Jones, 2021. Citizen science for HEMIPTERAN monitoring. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 19(5): 244-251.
39. Subedi Bijay , Anju Poudel & Samikshya Aryal,2023.The impact of climate change on insect pest biology and ecology: Implications for pest management strategies, crop production, and food security Journal of Agriculture and Food Research,Volume 14, 100733 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100733.
40. Sundar S. & M. Muralidharan, 2017. Impacts of climatic change on aquatic insects and their habitats: A global perspective with particular reference to India. J. Sci. Trans. Environ. Technov., 10(4):157-165.
41. Tully,O,T.K McCarthy, & D.O'Donnell, 1991. The ecology of the Corixidae (*Hemiptera: Heteroptera*) in the Corrib catchment, Ireland. Hydrobiologia 21:161–169. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00034674
42. Valdez Jose W., 2019. Predaceous Diving Beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae) May Affect the Success of Amphibian Conservation Efforts. Doi: 10.20944/preprints201903.0213.v4.
43. Varshney Richa,RS Ramya & Omprakash Navik,2022. Insect Invasive Species: Threat Posed and Collaborative Efforts for Management.Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour. 35(3): 386–388.DOI 10.5958/0976-1926.2022.00105X.
44. Vianna, G. & A.De Melo, 2003. Distribution patterns of aquatic and semi aquatic Heteroptera in Retiro das Pedras, Brumadinho, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Lundiana. 4:125-128. Doi: 10.35699/2675-5327.2003.21865.
45. Wiggins, G. B., R. J. Mackay & I. M. Smith, 1980. Evolutionary and ecological strategies of animals in annual temporary pools. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement. 58:97–206.
46. Woodward, Guy, Daniel M. Perkins, & Lee E. Brown, 2010. Climate change and freshwater ecosystems: impacts across multiple levels of organization. Philoso. Transact. Royal Soci.B:Biol.Sci.365(1549):2093-2106. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0055.
47. Wyckhuys K.A.G., G. Pozsgai, G.L. Lovei, L. Vasseur, S.D. Wratten, G.M. Gurr, O.L. Reynolds & M.Goettel,2019.Global disparity in public awareness of the biological control potential of invertebrates,Science of The Total Environment,Volume 660, ,Pages 799-806,ISSN 0048-9697,https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.077.