



## Balancing Expertise And Experience: A Comparative Study Of Risk Management Practices In Certified And Non-Certified Project Managers

Mr. Nestor Soler Del Toro<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>*ResearchScholar nsolerdeltoro@gmail.com*

\* **Corresponding author:** Mr. Nestor Soler Del Toro

*ResearchScholar nsolerdeltoro@gmail.com*

| <i>Article History</i>   | <i>Abstract</i>  |
|--|--|
| Received: 26 March 2023<br>Revised: 12 July 2023<br>Accepted: 29 July 2023 | This qualitative study explores the influence of professional certifications on risk management practices among project managers. Comparing 50 certified and non-certified project managers across diverse industries, the research investigates differences in risk identification, analysis, response planning, and monitoring. Findings reveal that while certified managers use structured methodologies and formal tools, non-certified managers show greater adaptability and reliance on experience. The study highlights the complementary nature of certification and practical experience in effective risk management, providing insights for training and development in the project management field. |
| CC License<br>CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0  | <b>Keywords:</b> <i>Project Management; Risk Management; Professional Certification; Comparative Analysis; Qualitative Study</i>   |

### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### The Criticality of Effective Risk Management in Project Success

Effective risk management is a cornerstone of successful project management. Smith et al. (1998) emphasize the fundamental role of risk management processes and techniques in project success. This viewpoint is echoed in the work of Iqbal et al. (2015), who underscore the importance of risk minimization and the maximization of positive events, particularly in construction projects. Zwikael & Ahn (2010) further articulate the dynamic nature of risk management, focusing on the critical need for active monitoring and risk level minimization during project execution. These collective insights from various scholars establish a foundational understanding of risk management as a pivotal component in navigating the complexities and uncertainties inherent in projects across various sectors.

#### Background and Rationale: The Evolving Landscape of Project Management and Certifications

The project management field has witnessed a significant transformation in recent years. Starkweather & Stevenson (2011) and Morris et al. (2006) document the shift from project management's origins in engineering and construction to its current status as a standard organizational approach across diverse industries and government sectors. This evolution has been marked by an increasing emphasis on professional certifications, with credentials such as the Project Management Professional (PMP), PRINCE2, and Certified ScrumMaster (CSM) gaining prominence. These certifications are often regarded as essential indicators of a project manager's expertise and knowledge. The rise in the number of professionals obtaining such certifications (Rzempala, 2019; Parker, 2021; Ríos-Carmenado et al., 2011) underscores the growing importance of these

credentials in the field. Catanio et al. (2013), "VALUE AND BENEFITS OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATIONS - AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT" (2022), and Farashah & Thomas (2019) highlight that these certifications extend beyond technical knowledge, encompassing a broader skill set crucial for effective project management.

### **The Debate on Certification Versus Practical Abilities**

Despite the widespread recognition and value placed on certifications, their impact on the practical abilities of project managers, particularly in risk management, remains a contentious issue. Morris et al. (2006) raise concerns about whether the theoretical knowledge and standardized methodologies provided through certification programs are sufficient for handling the unpredictable nature of real-world projects, especially in the nuanced area of risk management. This debate is crucial, considering the rapidly changing project landscapes and the increasing complexity of risks encountered. While certifications are acknowledged as key credentials for practitioners, their effectiveness in equipping project managers with the necessary practical skills for dynamic and complex project environments is questioned.

### **The Multifaceted Debate on the Value of Certifications**

The value and role of certifications in project management are topics of multifaceted debate. Critics, as noted by Morris et al. (2006) and Catanio et al. (2013), suggest that certifications might not adequately reflect a project manager's ability to apply their knowledge effectively in varied project scenarios. They argue for the importance of skills, behaviors, tacit knowledge, reflection, and judgment that go beyond what formal education can provide. Conversely, proponents of certification, such as Khawam & Bostain (2019), argue for the benefits of certification in establishing a common framework and language among professionals, thereby improving communication and consistency in project management practices. Certification is also seen as a pathway to professionalization, addressing the assumption of a positive correlation between certification and performance in project management ("VALUE AND BENEFITS OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATIONS - AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT", 2022).

### **Identifying the Gap in Research**

While the existing literature provides extensive insights into the theoretical aspects and general value of certifications, there is a noticeable gap in empirical research that specifically compares the risk management approaches of certified versus non-certified project managers. This gap is evident in the limited systematic comparison of these groups' risk management methodologies ("VALUE AND BENEFITS OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATIONS - AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT", 2022; "The Fast Forward MBA in Project Management", 2000). Catanio et al. (2013) have noted that certified project managers do not necessarily outperform non-certified ones in key project management activities, and Dellana et al. (2019) found that managers' perceptions significantly influenced their findings on risk management in ISO 9001-certified firms. These studies indicate a need for more focused and rigorous empirical research, especially concerning risk management practices.

### **Aim and Scope of This Study**

This study aims to address this research gap by providing an empirical comparison of the risk management practices of certified and non-certified project managers. It seeks to identify the differences and similarities in their approaches and strategies, contributing to a deeper understanding of how certification influences risk management practices. This exploration is pivotal in addressing the broader question of what constitutes effective risk management and how professional training and personal experience intertwine in shaping these practices.

### **Methodological Approach**

The methodology chosen for this study is qualitative, aiming to delve deeply into the subjective experiences and practices of individual project managers. This approach is particularly suited to exploring complex phenomena such as personal experiences, decision-making processes, and the nuanced impact of professional training. The comparative nature of the study is designed to highlight contrasts and similarities between certified and non-certified project managers in their risk management practices, dissecting the influence of certification on their strategies and overall effectiveness.

## 2. Methodology

### Introduction to the Methodology:

In this study, we aim to explore and compare how certified and non-certified project managers perceive and manage risks in their projects. The objective is to identify differences and similarities in their approaches and strategies, and to understand how certification (or the lack thereof) may influence the practice of risk management in project management. This methodology section outlines the approach taken to gather and analyze data, ensuring the validity and reliability of the findings.

### Study Design:

#### Type of Study:

This research is fundamentally qualitative in nature. The decision to pursue a qualitative study stems from the objective to delve into the nuanced perspectives, experiences, and approaches of project managers in the context of risk management. Unlike quantitative research that seeks to quantify data and generalize results across populations, this qualitative study aims to uncover richer, more detailed insights into the subjective experiences and practices of individual project managers.

#### The qualitative nature of this study allows for the exploration of complex topics such as:

- **Personal Experiences and Perceptions:** Understanding how project managers perceive and react to risks in their projects.
- **Decision-Making Processes:** Investigating the thought processes behind various risk management strategies.
- **Influence of Certification:** Delving into how formal training and certification shape a project manager's approach to risk management.

### Research Approach:

The study adopts a comparative approach, which is instrumental in highlighting the contrasts and similarities between certified and non-certified project managers in their risk management practices. This approach is particularly valuable in identifying whether and how certification influences risk management strategies, decision-making processes, and overall project management effectiveness.

#### Key aspects of this comparative approach include:

- **Direct Comparison:** By directly comparing responses and insights from both groups, the study aims to isolate the impact of certification from other variables. This comparison provides a clearer picture of the value and influence of certification in risk management practices.
- **Contrast and Correlation:** Beyond merely noting differences, this approach seeks to understand the underlying reasons for these differences. It involves exploring correlations between certification status and specific risk management behaviors or preferences.
- **Contextual Analysis:** Understanding that project management does not occur in a vacuum, this approach allows for the examination of how external factors (such as industry norms, project types, and organizational cultures) might influence the risk management practices of the two groups differently.
- **Thematic Exploration:** A key component of the comparative approach in qualitative research is the identification of themes that emerge across and within the two groups. This thematic analysis will help in drawing out broader patterns and insights that can inform the field of project management.

### Participant Selection:

#### Criteria:

For the participant selection, the primary criterion was their professional role as project managers. This criterion was chosen to ensure that the responses and insights gathered were directly relevant and based on actual experience in project management. The participants were further divided into two distinct groups:

1. **Certified Project Managers:** These participants hold one or more professional certifications in project management. Examples of such certifications include Project Management Professional (PMP), PRINCE2, Certified ScrumMaster (CSM), or similar credentials. The rationale behind selecting certified project managers is to assess how formal training and accreditation impact their approach to risk management.
2. **Non-Certified Project Managers:** This group comprises individuals who, despite being experienced in project management, do not hold any formal certification. This comparison group was included to explore

how experiential learning and personal methodologies influence risk management practices, contrasting with the structured approaches often fostered by certification programs.

### **Sampling Method:**

Purposive sampling was employed to select participants. This method was chosen over random sampling due to the specific nature of the study's focus group – project managers with and without certification. Purposive sampling allowed for a more targeted approach, ensuring that each participant had the relevant experience and background to provide insightful data for the research.

To ensure a diverse representation, the sampling strategy included:

- **Industry Diversity:** Participants were chosen from a range of industries, including but not limited to IT, construction, healthcare, finance, and manufacturing. This diversity is crucial, as risk management practices can vary significantly across different industries.
- **Experience Levels:** Both novice and seasoned project managers were included. This variety helps in understanding how experience level interacts with certification status in shaping risk management approaches.
- **Geographic Diversity:** Efforts were made to include project managers from various geographical locations to account for potential regional variations in project management practices.

### **Number of Participants:**

A total of 50 project managers participated in the study. This number was chosen to strike a balance between a sample size large enough to provide diverse insights and manageable for in-depth analysis. The participants were evenly split between the two groups – 25 certified project managers and 25 non-certified project managers. This equal distribution ensured a fair comparison between the groups, aiming to provide a balanced view of the impact of certification on risk management practices.

The participant selection process was meticulously designed to ensure that the study results are both valid and reflective of the broader project management community's practices and beliefs. The criteria, sampling method, and number of participants were all chosen to support the study's overall objective of comparing risk management approaches among certified and non-certified project managers.

### **Data Collection:**

- **Survey Development:** The survey consisted of structured questions designed to capture comprehensive information about risk management practices.
- **Survey Distribution:** The survey was distributed electronically in 2022, utilizing professional networks and project management forums.
- **Anonymity and Confidentiality:** Measures were taken to ensure the anonymity and confidentiality of participants' responses.

### **Survey Questions:**

The survey included sections on demographic information, risk identification, risk analysis, risk response planning, and risk monitoring and control.

#### **1. Demographic Information:**

- Age
- Gender
- Years of experience in project management
- Type of industry
- Certification status (if any, specify the certification)

#### **2. Risk Identification:**

- Describe the process you use to identify risks in your projects.
- What tools or techniques do you employ for risk identification?

#### **3. Risk Analysis:**

- How do you assess the potential impact and likelihood of identified risks?

- Do you use quantitative, qualitative, or a combination of both methods for risk analysis?

#### 4. Risk Response Planning:

- What are your primary strategies for risk mitigation, transfer, acceptance, or avoidance?
- How do you develop risk response plans?

#### 5. Risk Monitoring and Control:

- Describe how you monitor and control risks throughout the project lifecycle.
- What tools or systems do you use for risk monitoring?

### Data Analysis:

#### Coding and Thematic Analysis:

The data collected from the survey responses underwent a rigorous coding process. Coding in qualitative research is a method used to categorize and identify themes or patterns in the data. This process involves several steps:

1. **Initial Reading:** Each response was read thoroughly to gain an overall understanding of the content.
2. **Open Coding:** In this initial phase of coding, data was examined line-by-line to identify significant words, phrases, or sentences that capture the essence of the participants' experiences or perspectives.
3. **Axial Coding:** The next step involved connecting and relating these codes. This process helped in identifying relationships between different codes, which were pivotal in understanding broader themes.
4. **Selective Coding:** Finally, the most significant of these codes were chosen to develop major themes. This stage involved interpreting the meanings and implications of the themes in the context of the study's objectives.

For thematic analysis, the study employed software tools known for qualitative data analysis. These tools facilitated the organization of codes and the visualization of relationships between them. The thematic analysis aimed to draw out key themes that represent the collective experiences and perspectives of the project managers regarding risk management practices.

### Comparative Analysis:

The comparative analysis was a critical component of this study, as it provided insights into the differences and similarities between the two groups of project managers. This analysis involved several key steps:

1. **Side-by-Side Comparison:** Responses from certified and non-certified project managers were laid out side-by-side to facilitate direct comparison. This step was crucial in identifying immediate and apparent differences or similarities in their perspectives and practices.
2. **Variable Analysis:** Each theme identified in the thematic analysis was examined in terms of how it varied between the two groups. This involved looking at how different aspects of risk management were approached by certified versus non-certified project managers.
3. **Contextual Consideration:** The comparative analysis also took into account the context within which these project managers operated. This meant considering factors like industry, project type, and organizational culture and how these might influence the responses and practices of the two groups.
4. **Synthesis of Findings:** The final step involved synthesizing the findings from the comparative analysis to draw conclusions about the impact of certification on risk management practices. This synthesis aimed to provide a nuanced understanding of the role of certification in shaping a project manager's approach to risk management.

### Ethical Considerations:

- Ethical approval was obtained from [Institution's Name] Ethics Committee.
- Participants were informed about the study's purpose, and consent was obtained before survey distribution.

### Limitations:

- Acknowledgment of the study's limitations, such as potential response biases and the generalizability of findings.



### 3. Results

#### Overview of Findings:

Based on the comprehensive methodology outlined, the study yielded insightful findings about the risk management practices of certified and non-certified project managers. The data, gathered through a meticulously designed survey and analyzed using advanced qualitative methods, revealed significant differences and similarities between the two groups.

#### Demographic Information:

The demographic data collected in this study offered crucial insights into the background and professional contexts of the participants, thereby providing a foundational understanding of the diversity and representativeness of the sample. This section details the demographic characteristics of the 50 project managers who participated in the study.

##### 1. Age Distribution:

- The age range of participants was between 28 to 60 years, highlighting a broad spectrum of experience levels.
- The median age was found to be 42 years, indicating a sample with a significant amount of professional experience.
- This wide age range ensured that the study captured perspectives from both relatively newer project managers and those with several decades of experience in the field.

##### 2. Experience Levels:

- Participants' years of experience in project management varied significantly, ranging from as little as 3 years to over 35 years.
- This variation in experience levels was crucial for understanding how risk management practices might evolve over time and with increasing exposure to different project scenarios.

##### 3. Industry Representation:

- The study encompassed project managers from a variety of industries, ensuring that the findings were not overly biased towards any single field.
- Major industries represented included:
  - **Information Technology (IT):** Representing the tech-focused and rapidly evolving sector.
  - **Construction:** Offering insights from a field known for its complex, large-scale projects with significant physical and logistical risks.
  - **Healthcare:** Including project managers who deal with unique risks related to patient care, regulatory compliance, and technological integration.
  - **Finance:** Providing perspectives from an industry where risk management is often closely linked to financial stability and regulatory compliance.
  - **Manufacturing:** Highlighting risk management in the context of production, supply chain, and operational efficiency.

##### 4. Geographic Diversity:

- Participants were drawn from various geographic locations, not only capturing different industries but also the regional differences in project management practices.
- This geographic diversity helped in understanding how cultural and regional factors might influence risk management approaches.

##### 5. Gender Distribution:

- The study aimed for gender diversity to ensure that the findings were not skewed by gender-specific perspectives or experiences in project management.
- The final participant pool included a balanced representation of genders, reflecting the current state of diversity in the project management profession.

## 6. Certification Status:

- The participants were evenly split in terms of certification status, with 25 certified and 25 non-certified project managers.
- Certified project managers held credentials from various recognized institutions, ensuring a broad representation of different certification programs.

Themes Identified through Coding and Thematic Analysis:

The thematic analysis highlighted several key themes:

### 1. Approach to Risk Identification:

- **Certified Project Managers:** These individuals often employed structured methodologies for risk identification, adhering to protocols and processes learned in formal training. Common tools and techniques mentioned included SWOT analysis, risk registers, and checklists. Participants often cited the use of systematic approaches to ensure comprehensive risk identification, suggesting that certification courses emphasize a thorough, methodical approach to this phase of risk management.
- **Non-Certified Project Managers:** This group tended to rely on their intuition and past experiences when identifying risks. They highlighted the importance of team discussions, brainstorming sessions, and leveraging collective knowledge and insights. Many non-certified project managers mentioned using informal techniques such as anecdotal evidence and historical data from previous projects, emphasizing a more organic and experience-based approach to identifying potential risks.

### 2. Risk Analysis Techniques:

- **Certified Project Managers:** Showed a clear preference for quantitative methods, such as using probability impact matrices, cost-benefit analyses, and sensitivity analyses. These managers often described employing statistical tools and risk modeling techniques to assess and prioritize risks, reflecting a data-driven approach influenced by their formal training.
- **Non-Certified Project Managers:** More inclined towards qualitative risk analysis methods, these participants frequently relied on personal judgment, expert opinions, and scenario analysis. They tended to prioritize risks based on their experiences and the perceived severity of impact, as opposed to quantitative scoring systems. This approach, while less structured, allowed for flexibility and adaptation to unique project circumstances.

### 3. Risk Response Planning:

- **Certified Project Managers:** Often developed detailed risk response plans, which included clear procedures, roles, and responsibilities. They tended to document these plans formally, incorporating them into project documentation. Their strategies often aligned with standardized frameworks and best practices learned during certification, such as the development of contingency plans and risk mitigation strategies.
- **Non-Certified Project Managers:** Displayed a more dynamic approach to risk response planning. They were more likely to adapt their strategies based on the evolving nature of the project and real-time developments. This group often emphasized the importance of agility and responsiveness, with many mentioning the use of ad-hoc teams and task forces to address emerging risks.

### 4. Monitoring and Control of Risks:

- **Certified Project Managers:** This group frequently utilized project management software and risk tracking tools to monitor and control risks. They tended to follow structured monitoring processes, regularly updating risk assessments and tracking the effectiveness of risk responses. Their approaches often involved setting up automated alerts and using dashboards for real-time risk monitoring.
- **Non-Certified Project Managers:** Preferred regular team meetings and informal communication channels for risk monitoring and control. This group emphasized the importance of open communication, team engagement, and hands-on management to stay abreast of risk developments. They often relied on collective team insights and on-the-ground observations to adjust their risk management strategies.

### Comparative Analysis:

The comparative analysis conducted in this study provided a detailed examination of how certification status influences project managers' approaches to risk management. This analysis involved a meticulous side-by-side comparison and variable analysis of the responses from both certified and non-certified project managers.

#### 1. Certification Impact:

- **Formal Methodologies:** The analysis revealed that certification significantly impacts the adoption of formal and structured methodologies in risk management. Certified project managers were more likely to use established frameworks and standardized processes. This trend was evident in all phases of risk management, from identification to control.
- **Knowledge Base:** Certification also seemed to provide a comprehensive knowledge base, equipping managers with a wide array of tools and techniques. This was particularly noticeable in how certified managers approached complex risk analysis and response planning, often citing specific methodologies learned during their certification courses.
- **Consistency in Practice:** The study found a higher degree of consistency in risk management practices among certified project managers, likely due to the shared training and common standards emphasized in certification programs.

#### 2. Adaptability and Flexibility:

- **Tailored Approaches:** Non-certified project managers demonstrated a significant degree of adaptability, often customizing their risk management approaches to meet the unique demands and challenges of their projects.
- **Reactivity to Change:** These managers were also more likely to adjust their strategies in response to changes within the project environment, showing a high level of responsiveness to unforeseen risks or issues.
- **Leveraging Experience:** The reliance on personal experience and intuition allowed non-certified managers to navigate complex and ambiguous situations effectively, often employing creative and unconventional solutions.

#### 3. Contextual Considerations:

- **Industry Influence:** The comparative analysis recognized that the industry context played a crucial role in shaping risk management practices. For instance, project managers in the construction industry, regardless of certification status, exhibited a heightened focus on physical and logistical risks.
- **Project Type and Organizational Culture:** Different types of projects (e.g., IT vs. healthcare) and organizational cultures also influenced risk management approaches. The study found variations in how risk was perceived and managed across different settings, with some environments favoring more innovative approaches over traditional methods.

### Synthesis of Findings:

The synthesis of the study's findings illuminated several key insights:

- **Complementary Strengths:** While certification provides a strong foundation in risk management methodologies, the findings suggest that the adaptive and intuitive approaches of non-certified managers are equally important in the fluid and unpredictable realm of project management.
- **Integration of Approaches:** The study proposes that integrating formal training with experiential learning could lead to more effective risk management practices. This integration could leverage the strengths of structured methodologies while maintaining the flexibility to adapt to specific project needs and changes.
- **Balance between Rigor and Agility:** Effective risk management seems to require a balance between methodological rigor and situational agility. The study's findings indicate that project managers who can blend these aspects are likely to be more successful in navigating the complexities of modern projects.
- **Influence of Certification on Risk Perception:** The analysis also suggests that certification impacts not only the practices but also the perception of risks, potentially leading to more proactive risk management strategies.



## 4. Discussion

### **Reflection on Methodology and Findings:**

In this study, we aimed to explore the nuanced perspectives and approaches of certified and non-certified project managers in risk management. The qualitative nature of our research provided rich insights into personal experiences, decision-making processes, and the influence of certification. The findings from our comprehensive methodology, which included a detailed survey and a thorough comparative analysis, revealed significant distinctions in how risk is perceived and managed by these two groups.

### **Certification's Role in Risk Management:**

The comparative analysis underscored the substantial impact of certification on the adoption of formal methodologies in risk management. Certified project managers demonstrated a consistent preference for structured approaches, leveraging their training to employ a range of tools and techniques. This finding aligns with the anticipated outcomes of formal project management education, which typically emphasizes standardization and methodical processes. However, it raises questions about the flexibility and adaptability of these approaches in the face of unpredictable project challenges.

### **Adaptability of Non-Certified Project Managers:**

Conversely, non-certified project managers displayed greater adaptability, often tailoring their strategies to the specific needs of the project. This adaptability is a crucial skill in project management, particularly in dynamic and complex project environments. The reliance on intuition and experience, while less structured, allowed for more creative and responsive risk management strategies. This finding suggests that while formal training provides a solid foundation, experiential learning and intuitive decision-making are equally important in the practice of risk management.

### **Contextual Influences on Risk Management:**

Our analysis acknowledged the role of external factors, such as industry norms, project types, and organizational culture, in shaping risk management practices. These contextual considerations highlighted that risk management does not operate in isolation but is influenced by a variety of environmental and situational factors. The differences in risk management approaches between industries suggest that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be effective and that risk management strategies need to be contextualized.

### **Synthesizing the Comparative and Thematic Findings:**

The synthesis of our findings suggests a complementary relationship between the structured methodologies learned through certification and the adaptive approaches developed through experience. Effective risk management appears to require a balance between rigor and agility, combining the strengths of formal training with the flexibility afforded by experiential learning. This balance is crucial for navigating the complexities of modern project environments.

### **Revisiting the Role of Certification:**

While certification undeniably influences risk management practices, our study indicates that it is not the sole determinant of effective risk management. The perception and management of risk are also significantly influenced by individual experiences, contextual factors, and personal judgment. Therefore, the value of certification should be viewed in conjunction with these other influential factors.

### **Limitations and Areas for Future Research:**

This study, while comprehensive, has limitations. The reliance on self-reported data and the subjective nature of qualitative research may introduce biases. Additionally, the generalizability of our findings may be limited due to the purposive sampling method and the specific demographic composition of our participant pool. Future research could expand on these findings by incorporating longitudinal studies or integrating quantitative methods to validate and extend our understanding of how certification impacts risk management practices in project management.

## References

1. (2000). The fast forward mba in project management. *Quality Management Journal*, 7(2), 84-84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10686967.2000.11918899>
2. (2021). Build it better. *Defense Acquisition Research Journal*, 28(96). <https://doi.org/10.22594/dau.042021-96.28.02>
3. (2022). Value and benefits of project management certifications - an empirical assessment. *Proceedings*. [https://doi.org/10.33965/is2022\\_2022011021](https://doi.org/10.33965/is2022_2022011021)
4. Ahsan, K., Ho, M., & Khan, S. (2013). Recruiting project managers: a comparative analysis of competencies and recruitment signals from job advertisements. *Project Management Journal*, 44(5), 36-54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21366>
5. Catanio, J. T., Armstrong, G. R., & Tucker, J. (2013). The effects of project management certification on the triple constraint. *International Journal of Information Technology Project Management*, 4(4), 93-111. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijitpm.2013100106>
6. Dellana, S. A., Kros, J., Falasca, M., & Rowe, W. J. (2019). Risk management integration and supply chain performance in iso 9001-certified and non-certified firms. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 69(6), 1205-1225. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijppm-12-2018-0454>
7. Didraga, O. (2013). The role and the effects of risk management in it projects success. *Informatica Economica*, 17(1/2013), 86-98. <https://doi.org/10.12948/issn14531305/17.1.2013.08>
8. Farashah, A. D. and Thomas, J. (2019). Exploring the value of project management certification in selection and recruiting. *International Journal of Project Management*, 37(1), 14-26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.09.005>
9. Iqbal, S., Choudhry, R. M., Holschemacher, K., Ali, A., & Tamošaitienė, J. (2015). Risk management in construction projects. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 21(1), 65-78. <https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2014.994582>
10. Khawam, A. A. and Bostain, N. S. (2019). Project manager's role in safety performance of saudi construction. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 12(4), 938-960. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijmpb-04-2018-0087>
11. Liu, Y. (2022). The role of flexibility in the construction risk management prescriptiveness. *Advanced Journal of Engineering*, 40-44. <https://doi.org/10.55571/aje.2022.08008>
12. Morris, P. W. G., Crawford, L., Hodgson, D., Shepherd, M., & Thomas, J. (2006). Exploring the role of formal bodies of knowledge in defining a profession – the case of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 710-721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.012>
13. Parker, W. R. (2021). Engineering a better it program manager: a comparative study of it pm education and training. *Build It Better*, 28(96), 130-164. <https://doi.org/10.22594/10.22594/dau.20-856.28.02>
14. Raz, T., Shenhar, A. J., & Dvir, D. (2002). Risk management, project success, and technological uncertainty. *R&D Management*, 32(2), 101-109. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9310.00243>
15. Ríos-Carmenado, I. d. l., Díaz-Puente, J. M., & Martínez-Almela, J. (2011). The effect that project management certification has on employability: agents' perceptions from spain. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 35-47. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23023-3\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-23023-3_6)
16. Rzempala, J. (2019). Developing students' competences in project management in poland – a case study of ipma-student certification. *Proceedings of the 5th IPMA SENET Project Management Conference (SENET 2019)*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/senet-19.2019.20>
17. Smith, J. U. M. (1998). Project risk management: processes, techniques and insights. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 49(7), 769-770. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jors.2600022>
18. Smith, J. U. M., Chapman, C., & Ward, S. (1998). Project risk management: processes, techniques and insights.. *The Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 49(7), 769. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3010252>
19. Starkweather, J. A. and Stevenson, D. (2011). Pmp® certification as a core competency: necessary but not sufficient. *Project Management Journal*, 42(1), 31-41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.20174>
20. Teklemariam, M. A. and Mnkandla, E. (2017). Software project risk management practice in ethiopia. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 79(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2017.tb00583.x>
21. Teller, J., Kock, A., & Gemünden, H. G. (2014). Risk management in project portfolios is more than managing project risks: a contingency perspective on risk management. *Project Management Journal*, 45(4), 67-80. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21431>

22. Zahedi, M. H., Kashanaki, A. R., & Farahani, E. D. (2023). Risk management framework in agile software development methodology. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, 13(4), 4379. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v13i4.pp4379-4387>
23. Zwikael, O. and Ahn, M. J. (2010). The effectiveness of risk management: an analysis of project risk planning across industries and countries. *Risk Analysis*, 31(1), 25-37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2010.01470.x>