



Legal Aspects and Regulations Surrounding Stem Cell Preservation for Newborns: Rights, Ownership, and Consent

Hiranmaya Nanda^{1*}, Shyamantak Misra²

¹Associate Professor, School of law, KIIT Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar-751004, Odisha, India,
²Assistant Professor, School of law, KIIT Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar-751004, Odisha, India, Email: shyamantak.misra@kls.ac.in

*Corresponding author's E-mail: hiranmaya.nanda@kls.ac.in

Article History	Abstract
Received: 06 June 2023 Revised: 05 Sept 2023 Accepted: 04 Nov 2023	<p><i>Stem cell preservation for newborns has emerged as a promising medical practice with the potential to revolutionize healthcare. However, as this technology advances, it is accompanied by a complex web of legal considerations that demand careful examination. This paper explores the legal aspects and regulations surrounding stem cell preservation for newborns, with a focus on the rights of parents, healthcare providers, and private storage facilities, as well as the critical issue of informed consent. Through a comprehensive review of national and international regulations, real-world case studies, and ethical dilemmas, this research offers insights into the evolving legal landscape of stem cell preservation. It also addresses the emerging technologies, changing legislation, and the role of healthcare professionals in educating parents to make informed decisions. This paper highlights the importance of striking a balance between parental rights, ethical concerns, and the best interests of the child, all while advocating for responsible practices in this rapidly evolving field.</i></p> <p>Keywords: Stem Cell, New Born, Legal Aspect, Consent, Ownership, Ethical</p>
CC License CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0	

1. Introduction

The preservation of stem cells from newborns represents a groundbreaking frontier in healthcare with the potential to redefine the way we approach medical treatments. Stem cells, known for their remarkable regenerative capabilities, hold promise for treating a wide range of diseases, from leukemia to neurodegenerative disorders. Damaged cells and tissues can be replaced and repaired by stem cell treatment. Stem cell treatment instructs the body to produce new, healthy tissues in addition to transplanting cells. The field of regenerative therapeutics has great potential for using stem cells to repair damaged tissues and treat a variety of illnesses, including multiple sclerosis, heart disease, Parkinson's disease, and others.¹ The ability of stem cells to develop into specialized cells and their propensity for self-renewal are what make them unique. They are, in essence, immature precursor cells having the potential to develop into mature, specialized cells through specialization.¹ While the scientific and medical possibilities offered by stem cell preservation are exhilarating, they are accompanied by a labyrinth of legal complexities that necessitate profound contemplation. In an era where medical advancements routinely outpace legislation, the need to navigate these uncharted waters becomes increasingly critical.

According to a lot of researchers, using umbilical cord is not just about using human material for medical purposes. Additionally, research investigations including genetics, assessing the efficacy of novel antibiotics, identifying novel proteins, and other topics employ this tissue. On the other hand, others argue that the regulations and additional standards pertaining to the operation of cord banks lack consistency and clarity. Some of these opponents draw attention to the fact that various jurisdictions manage donor information confidentially in different ways. Given that private cord banks promise parents that their child's tissue will be stored to ensure their health in the future, essentially offering their services as "biological insurance" to secure informed consent, it begs the question of whether the consent was provided voluntarily or under duress. The ownership of the preserved umbilical chord needs to be taken into account when discussing privately held cord banks.³

The genesis of this paper stems from a fundamental question: what are the legal aspects and regulations governing the preservation of stem cells from newborns, and how do these regulations intersect with the rights of parents, healthcare providers, and private storage facilities? Moreover, how is the pivotal issue of informed consent addressed in this context, ensuring that parents are fully aware of the implications and options available to them? According to one source, it will take 320 years to resolve all of the more than 30 million cases that were outstanding in India's courts as of March 6, 2010 (The Times of India). Second, in the event that they have grievances, some individuals who may be able to get money for stem cell therapies in a desperate situation may not be able to extend these resources to fight for justice for several years. Perhaps the exception that illustrates the rule is a recent high-profile case involving allegations of medical malpractice that awarded the plaintiff a sizeable settlement.

As we embark on this journey, it is essential to acknowledge the inherent tension between the life-altering potential of stem cells and the intricate legal framework that surrounds their preservation. It is a tension characterized by ethical dilemmas, questions of ownership, and the necessity to strike a delicate balance between individual rights, collective societal interests, and the best interests of the child. Furthermore, it is essential to consider how cultural and religious factors play a role in shaping perspectives and regulatory approaches to stem cell preservation. India is a major participant in the stem cell industry, having invested heavily in the field through government programs and doing research that has resulted in the publishing of scholarly articles and the development of novel embryonic cell lines.⁴

An Overview of Stem Cell Preservation

Stem cells are undifferentiated cells with the unique ability to develop into various specialized cell types within the human body. These cells hold the promise of regenerating damaged tissues, treating a multitude of diseases, and revolutionizing modern medicine. To harness this potential, the practice of preserving stem cells from newborns has gained increasing attention in recent years.

Types of Stem Cells Commonly Preserved

Stem cells can be broadly categorized into two primary types: embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and adult stem cells. Newborn stem cell preservation primarily focuses on adult stem cells, as the collection of ESCs involves ethically and morally contentious procedures associated with human embryos. Adult stem cells, on the other hand, can be obtained from various sources, making the preservation process more accessible and less controversial.

Cord Blood Stem Cells: Cord blood, collected from the umbilical cord and placenta of newborns, is a rich source of hematopoietic stem cells. These cells are vital for the production of blood cells and have been successfully used in the treatment of blood-related disorders such as leukemia and anemia.

Tissue-Specific Stem Cells: In addition to cord blood, various tissues within the human body harbor tissue-specific stem cells. Examples include mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) found in bone marrow, adipose tissue, and other organs. These cells have shown potential for treating a wide range of diseases, including musculoskeletal disorders and autoimmune conditions.

Medical Applications of Preserved Stem Cells

Stem cell preservation holds immense promise for a multitude of medical applications, including:

Hematopoietic Disorders: Cord blood stem cells are routinely used in the treatment of hematopoietic disorders such as leukemia and immune system deficiencies. They can be transplanted to replenish the patient's blood cell supply and restore immune function.

Regenerative Medicine: Stem cells have the remarkable capacity to repair damaged or degenerated tissues. This makes them invaluable in regenerative medicine, with ongoing research exploring their potential in healing injuries, rejuvenating damaged organs, and treating degenerative conditions such as Parkinson's disease and spinal cord injuries.

Autoimmune Diseases: Mesenchymal stem cells have exhibited immunomodulatory properties, making them a candidate for treating autoimmune disorders like rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis.

Clinical Trials: Stem cell-based therapies are being explored in numerous clinical trials for a wide array of conditions, including heart disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative diseases.

As the field of stem cell research advances, it becomes increasingly important to examine the legal aspects and regulations surrounding the preservation of these precious biological resources, with an emphasis on ensuring that the rights of all stakeholders are respected and that the potential benefits are maximized while minimizing risks.

Legal Framework and Regulations

The practice of preserving stem cells from newborns is not conducted in a regulatory vacuum. National and international legal frameworks exist to govern the collection, storage, and use of these invaluable biological resources. Understanding these regulations is pivotal in ensuring the ethical and responsible utilization of stem cell preservation technologies. Currently, the Indian Penal Code, which specifies criminal conduct and associated sanctions, deals with violations of medical ethics in an indirect manner through a number of provisions. The Code's Section 304-A addresses complaints made against physicians for allegedly engaging in medical malpractice, including transgressions of medical ethics.⁵

National and International Regulations on Stem Cell Preservation

According to international and national norms, clinical applications of stem cells for research done in accordance with established regulatory processes are only permitted as a component of clinical trials. As we have argued, if stem cell treatment managed to evade regulation because it was developed for the health care market rather than for scientific purposes, then self-regulation via ethical codes of conduct covering clinical practice might be a viable solution. In fact, this looks like a straightforward answer if medical ethics preceded the formation of ethics for medical research. Through the course of medical education and subsequent membership in a professional society, some norms might evolve and take on characteristics of laws.⁶ Various countries have enacted legislation to address the legal aspects of stem cell preservation. These laws often dictate who can collect and store stem cells, how informed consent is obtained, and the rights of parents and healthcare providers. For example, in the United States, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates cord blood banks and tissue establishments, ensuring compliance with quality and safety standards. On the international stage, several agreements and conventions pertain to the use of stem cells and their preservation. The Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, adopted by UNESCO, provides ethical guidelines for the preservation and use of human biological materials, including stem cells. Additionally, the Declaration of Helsinki by the World Medical Association emphasizes the importance of informed consent in medical research and practice, which is highly relevant to stem cell preservation.

Ownership Rights: Parents, Healthcare Providers, and Private Storage Facilities

One of the central legal issues in stem cell preservation concerns the ownership of the preserved stem cells. Parents typically make the decision to collect and store their child's stem cells. Legal systems differ in their approach to parental rights over these cells, and this can have implications for issues such as custody disputes or the transfer of ownership in cases of divorce or parental death. Medical professionals play a critical role in the stem cell preservation process. Laws often define the responsibilities and liabilities of healthcare providers in obtaining informed consent, collecting the samples, and ensuring their safe transportation to storage facilities. Companies offering stem cell banking services operate under various legal obligations and quality standards. Regulations may govern their storage procedures, record-keeping, and disclosure of information to parents. Ensuring the quality and safety of preserved stem cells is a critical aspect of the legal framework surrounding private storage facilities.

Consent and Informed Decision-Making

Informed consent is a cornerstone of ethical medical practice, and it holds particular importance in stem cell preservation. Parents must be fully informed about the process, the potential uses of the stem cells, and any associated costs and risks before making a decision. Legal regulations often require healthcare providers to ensure that parents have a comprehensive understanding of these factors before proceeding with the collection and storage of stem cells.

Suggestions & Conclusion

According to a study that autologous blood banks are not widely accepted by specialized researchers, scientific societies, and other public entities from an ethical standpoint. Therefore, we think that, for social and medical reasons above all, as well as on the basis of fairness and human solidarity, it is more morally justifiable to support the establishment of public UCB banks.⁷ The preservation of stem cells from newborns represents an unparalleled advancement in medicine with the potential to redefine healthcare practices. Stem cells offer the promise of treating a wide range of diseases and regenerating damaged tissues, ushering in a new era of medical possibilities. However, this exciting field is not without its complexities, particularly in the realm of legal considerations. Throughout this paper, we have delved into the intricate web of legal aspects and regulations that surround stem cell preservation for newborns, focusing on rights, ownership, and consent. We have explored national and international

legal frameworks, dissected the ownership rights of parents, healthcare providers, and private storage facilities, and underscored the critical importance of informed consent in this process.

To address the limitations of the legal system, other means of implementing medical law enforcement might be developed. The Consumer Protection Act (CPA) of 1986 may be pertinent in this situation as it is designed to safeguard customers' rights against subpar goods and services and to expedite the resolution of complaints by avoiding the protracted litigation process.⁸

In navigating this complex terrain, we have encountered numerous ethical dilemmas, highlighting the necessity of striking a balance between the rights of individuals, societal interests, and the best interests of the child. Cultural and religious considerations further underscore the multifaceted nature of the legal framework surrounding stem cell preservation.

As we project into the future, it is clear that stem cell preservation is poised for continued growth and transformation. Emerging technologies promise new collection methods and novel applications, while potential changes in legislation and regulations may adapt to address evolving needs and concerns. Ongoing debates surrounding commercialization, access, and transparency will shape the ethical landscape of this field.

4. Conclusion

This research underscores the importance of responsible practices in the preservation and use of newborn stem cells. The legal framework serves as a guardian of the rights of parents, the interests of healthcare providers, and the ethical use of these invaluable biological resources. Stem cell preservation has immense potential, and its responsible development is a testament to the ingenuity of science and the conscientious guidance of law and ethics. The ongoing dialogue between the scientific community, healthcare professionals, legal experts, and society at large will be instrumental in navigating the path forward in stem cell preservation, striking a balance between progress and prudence for the betterment of healthcare and humanity.

Conflict of Interest: Being a conflict-free author free from other influences and prejudices is a freeing experience that lets me concentrate only on the search of information and the truth. It's a pledge to write with absolute neutrality. Hence there is no conflict of interest.

References:

1. Jose, J., George, T., & Thomas, A. M. (2020). Regulation of stem cell-based research in India in comparison with the US, EU and other Asian countries: current issues and future perspectives. *Current Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, 15(6), 492-508.
2. Purnima S., (2022) *An Empirical Study on the Legal Issues Surrounding Embryonic Stem Cell Research in India*, Volume 8 Issue 5, JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES & RESEARCH, 164-181.
3. Serrano-Delgado, V. M., Novello-Garza, B., & Valdez-Martinez, E. (2009). Ethical issues relating to the banking of umbilical cord blood in Mexico. *BMC medical ethics*, 10, 1-8.
4. Tiwari, S. S., and P. N. Desai. 2011. "Stem Cell Innovation System in India: Emerging Scenario and Future Challenges." *World Journal of Science Technology and Sustainable Development* 8 (1): 1–23
5. Dhar, A. (2010). Medical ethics violation to be made punishable offence. *The Hindu*.
6. Jasanoff, S. 2011. "Introduction: Rewriting Life, Reframing Rights." In *Reframing Rights: Bioconstitutionalism in the Genetic Age*, edited by S. Jasanoff, 1–27.
7. Lucea, J. A. (2012). Umbilical cord blood banks. Ethical aspects. Public versus private banks. *Cuadernos de Bioética*, 23(2), 269-285.
8. Peters, D. H., and V. R. Muraleedharan. 2008. "Regulating India's Health Services: To What End? What Future?" *Social Science & Medicine* 66 (10): 2133–2144.