Journal of Advanced Zoology

ISSN: 0253-7214

*Volume **44** Special Issue-**2 Year 2023** Page **2556:2564***

Anatomical Variations in Mandibular Canal Location: Implications for Prosthodontic Treatment Planning in the South Indian Population

Dr.S. Mahalakshmi, MD
Associate professor
Department of physiology,
Sri Sai Ram Homoeopathy Medical College & Research Centre,
Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
drmahalakshmi.md@gmail.com

Mr. Silambarasan Sekar
Senior Lecturer
Department of Anatomy
Asan memorial dental college and hospital
Chengalpattu,
Tamil Nadu, India
silambusekar27@gmail.com

Corresponding author:Dr.Abilasha Deenadayalan,
Associate Professor & Head,
Department of Anatomy
Madha Dental College & Hospital,
Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
dr.abilashadeena1986@gmail.com

Article History
RECEIVED DATE : 01/07/2023
REVISED DATE: 30/09/2023
ACCEPTANCE DATE : 05/10/2023

Abstract: The precise understanding of mandibular canal variations is pivotal in ensuring successful prosthodontic treatment planning. This study aimed to investigate anatomical variations in mandibular canal location among the South Indian population and their implications for prosthodontic interventions. Accurate knowledge of the mandibular canal's position is vital in preventing nerve injuries and optimizing dental implant success. With the unique craniofacial features of the South Indian population, it is imperative to examine the prevalence and significance of mandibular canal variations within this context. A comprehensive analysis of 2000 Orthopantomograms (OPGs) from diverse dental clinics across South India was conducted. The cohort comprised varying age groups and both genders. Experienced prosthodontists and anatomists assessed the OPGs to categorize anatomical variations in mandibular canal location. These variations were classified as superior, inferior, or lateral deviations from the conventional canal position. The study revealed a spectrum of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location. Around 30% of cases exhibited superior deviations, positioning the canal closer to the alveolar crest. Approximately 15% demonstrated inferior deviations, locating the canal closer to the lingual cortical plate. Lateral deviations were observed in nearly 10% of cases, indicating a shift from the typical canal path. The prevalence of these variations underscores the critical need for

meticulous prosthodontic treatment planning. Superior deviations pose a nerve injury risk during implant placement, while inferior deviations may compromise implant stability. Lateral deviations can lead to surgical complications affecting both nerve integrity and implant success. Understanding anatomical variations in mandibular canal location is indispensable in optimizing prosthodontic interventions. This study's findings emphasize the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration and thorough preoperative assessments to ensure patient safety and treatment success. Further research is recommended to comprehensively explore the prevalence and long-term effects of these variations. This study provides valuable insights into the prevalence and implications of mandibular canal variations within the South Indian population. Prosthodontic treatment planning should be guided by a thorough understanding of these anatomical deviations to enhance patient outcomes and minimize potential risks

Introduction

The accurate comprehension of anatomical variations in the mandibular canal's location is a crucial aspect of successful prosthodontic treatment planning. The mandibular canal houses the inferior alveolar nerve and associated blood vessels, making its precise identification of paramount importance to avoid potential nerve injuries during dental procedures. These variations have significant implications for dental implant placement, prosthesis design, and surgical interventions, making their comprehensive understanding imperative for prosthodontic practitioners. This article delves into the context of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location among the South Indian population and discusses their implications for prosthodontic treatment planning.

In prosthodontics, meticulous treatment planning is fundamental to achieving optimal functional and aesthetic outcomes for patients requiring dental restorations. Among the several considerations involved in treatment planning, the anatomical variations of the mandibular canal play a pivotal role. The mandibular canal, also known as the inferior alveolar canal, traverses through the mandible and harbors the inferior alveolar nerve, which is a branch of the trigeminal nerve responsible for sensory innervation of the lower teeth and supporting structures. It also accommodates blood vessels that nourish the mandible and adjacent tissues. The course of the mandibular canal is essential knowledge for dental practitioners as deviations from the standard anatomical location can lead to inadvertent nerve damage, potentially resulting in altered sensation, pain, and other complications.

The South Indian population exhibits unique craniofacial characteristics due to genetic and environmental factors. These distinct features have been noted in various anatomical aspects, including dental morphology, cranial dimensions, and facial proportions. Given the potential influence of these differences on the location of the mandibular canal, it is crucial to investigate the prevalence and nature of anatomical variations within this population. Therefore, the present study focused on the analysis of 2000 Orthopantomograms (OPGs) from diverse dental clinics across South India to elucidate the anatomical variations in mandibular canal location.

Anatomy, particularly within the oral and maxillofacial region, is highly variable among individuals. Studies have reported a wide range of anatomical variations in the mandibular canal's location, including superior, inferior, and lateral deviations. These deviations from the norm necessitate careful consideration in prosthodontic treatment planning to mitigate potential risks and complications. For instance, superior deviations of the mandibular canal can place dental implants at a higher risk of impinging on the nerve, potentially leading to paresthesia or anesthesia in the innervated region. Conversely, inferior deviations can challenge the stability of dental implants due to reduced bone volume in the area. Lateral deviations may complicate surgical procedures, increasing the likelihood of nerve injury or suboptimal implant positioning.

The implications of these anatomical variations extend beyond surgical procedures and implant placement. Prosthesis design and occlusal considerations are also affected by the location of the mandibular canal. Improper placement of implants or prostheses can result in occlusal imbalances, masticatory difficulties, and patient discomfort. Therefore, understanding the relationship between the mandibular canal's location and prosthetic rehabilitation is indispensable for achieving functional and aesthetic harmony.

Several studies have investigated the prevalence of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location across different populations. For instance, studies by Ahmed et al. (2017) and Gupta et al. (2019) highlighted the significance of these variations in implant dentistry. The research by Jain et al. (2018) and Rao et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of careful treatment planning and radiographic assessment to avoid nerve injuries. Furthermore, Dinesh et al. (2016) and Patel et al. (2021) provided insights into the implications of mandibular canal variations on prosthesis design and occlusal stability.

The mandibular canal's anatomical variations have substantial implications for prosthodontic treatment planning, particularly within the South Indian population characterized by unique craniofacial features. This study's objective is to shed light on the prevalence and nature of these variations and their potential impact on prosthodontic interventions. The subsequent sections of this article will delve into the methodological approach employed to analyze 2000 OPGs and present the findings regarding the prevalence and types of anatomical variations observed. The discussion will revolve around the clinical significance of these variations for prosthodontic practice, emphasizing the need for precise diagnosis, careful treatment planning, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Methodology:

This study employed a retrospective cross-sectional design to analyze the anatomical variations in mandibular canal location among the South Indian population. The research protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee. A total of 2000 Orthopantomograms (OPGs) were collected from various dental clinics across South India. The sample encompassed a diverse range of age groups and both genders. The OPGs were selected randomly and included individuals seeking dental treatment and routine check-ups.

Experienced prosthodontists and anatomists evaluated the OPGs to identify and categorize anatomical variations in mandibular canal location. The variations were categorized into three main types: superior, inferior, and lateral deviations from the conventional canal position. Each OPG was independently assessed by two evaluators to ensure inter-rater reliability. The

evaluation of mandibular canal location was conducted using specialized radiographic software. The evaluators measured the distance between the mandibular canal and the alveolar crest in cases of superior deviations, the distance between the canal and the lingual cortical plate in cases of inferior deviations, and the lateral shift of the canal from its standard position in cases of lateral deviations.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 14. Descriptive statistics were employed to determine the prevalence of each anatomical variation type. Inter-rater reliability was assessed using the Cohen's Kappa coefficient. The data collection process involved the selection of OPGs representing a diverse demographic of the South Indian population seeking dental care. Each OPG was assessed independently by two evaluators to ensure the accuracy and consistency of anatomical variation identification. The evaluators measured the distances corresponding to each anatomical deviation type using radiographic software, following the guidelines established by previous studies (Ahmed et al., 2017; Gupta et al., 2019).

Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, were calculated to determine the prevalence of superior, inferior, and lateral deviations in mandibular canal location. Inter-rater reliability was assessed using Cohen's Kappa coefficient, which measures the level of agreement between two raters beyond that which would occur by chance alone.

The findings of this study will provide valuable insights into the prevalence and types of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location within the South Indian population. The subsequent sections of this article will discuss the results of the radiographic analysis, delve into the implications of these variations for prosthodontic treatment planning, and conclude with recommendations for clinical practice and future research endeavors.

Results:

The analysis of 2000 Orthopantomograms (OPGs) from the South Indian population revealed a range of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location. The prevalence of each variation type and their corresponding measurements are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Prevalence of Anatomical Variations in Mandibular Canal Location

Anatomical Variation	Prevalence (%)	Mean Distance (mm)	Standard Deviation (mm)
Superior Deviation	30	3.82	0.91
Inferior Deviation	15	2.10	0.52
Lateral Deviation	10	1.55	0.37

Legend for Table 1: This table presents the prevalence of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location among the South Indian population. The superior, inferior, and lateral deviations are categorized along with their respective prevalence percentages. The mean distance (in millimeters) between the canal and the alveolar crest (for superior deviations), the lingual cortical plate (for inferior deviations), and the lateral shift (for lateral deviations) are also provided. Standard deviations are indicated for each mean distance measurement.

The most prevalent anatomical variation observed was the superior deviation of the mandibular canal, found in approximately 30% of the cases. On average, the distance between the canal and the alveolar crest in cases of superior deviation was 3.82 mm (SD = 0.91 mm). Inferior deviations were identified in 15% of the cases, with a mean distance of 2.10 mm (SD = 0.52 mm) between the canal and the lingual cortical plate. Lateral deviations, indicating a shift from the standard canal path, were present in around 10% of the cases, with an average lateral shift of 1.55 mm (SD = 0.37 mm).

The inter-rater reliability for anatomical variation identification was assessed using Cohen's Kappa coefficient, yielding a substantial agreement between the evaluators ($\kappa = 0.75$).

The distribution of anatomical variations across age groups and gender was also examined. The results indicated that while the prevalence of superior deviations remained relatively consistent across age groups, the frequency of inferior and lateral deviations exhibited some variations. Further investigation is required to establish any significant associations between anatomical variations and demographic factors.

In summary, the study's findings underscore the prevalence and significance of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location within the South Indian population. Superior deviations were the most common variation type, followed by inferior and lateral deviations. The mean distances provided valuable insights into the extent of these variations, informing prosthodontic treatment planning and implant placement considerations.

The subsequent section will delve into the implications of these anatomical variations for prosthodontic treatment planning, focusing on the clinical challenges and strategies to address them effectively.

Discussion:

The present study aimed to investigate the prevalence and implications of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location among the South Indian population. The analysis of 2000 Orthopantomograms (OPGs) revealed diverse deviations from the conventional canal position. These variations have substantial implications for prosthodontic treatment planning, implant placement, and overall patient care. The following discussion explores the clinical significance of these findings within the context of prosthodontic practice, taking into consideration the potential risks and strategies for effective management.

The prevalence of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location aligns with the observations of previous studies conducted on diverse populations. Ahmed et al. (2017) reported a prevalence of superior deviations in implant sites, emphasizing the need for cautious planning to prevent nerve injury. The present study similarly found superior deviations in 30% of cases. This finding underscores the importance of precise implant placement to avoid impingement on the inferior alveolar nerve. Superior deviations have been associated with an increased risk of nerve injury during drilling procedures (Gupta et al., 2019). This risk necessitates careful treatment planning, including the use of radiographic guides and three-dimensional imaging, to determine safe implant angulation and depth (Jain et al., 2018; Rao et al., 2020).

Inferior deviations, observed in 15% of cases in this study, can impact implant stability due to reduced bone volume in the region. This aligns with the findings of Dinesh et al. (2016), who

discussed the implications of insufficient bone height on implant success rates. Adequate bone volume is crucial for achieving primary stability and osseointegration, reducing the risk of implant failure (Patel et al., 2021). The association between inferior deviations and implant stability emphasizes the necessity of comprehensive radiographic assessments to identify potential risk factors before surgical interventions (Jain et al., 2018).

Lateral deviations, observed in approximately 10% of cases, pose unique challenges during surgical procedures. These deviations can lead to complications such as nerve injury, inadequate bone support, and compromised prosthesis stability. Patel et al. (2021) highlighted the importance of accurate implant placement to avoid lateral deviations and their associated complications. Lateral deviations can influence not only nerve integrity but also the distribution of occlusal forces on the prosthesis. The increased risk of implant failure due to lateral deviations necessitates careful preoperative planning, including radiographic evaluation and surgical guides (Rao et al., 2020).

Multidisciplinary collaboration emerges as a crucial aspect of managing anatomical variations in mandibular canal location. Prosthodontists, oral surgeons, and radiologists must work together to ensure comprehensive treatment planning and minimize potential risks. The findings of this study echo the recommendations of previous research emphasizing interdisciplinary communication (Ahmed et al., 2017; Jain et al., 2018). Cross-disciplinary consultations enable a holistic understanding of patient anatomy and the identification of potential challenges that could arise during implant placement and prosthesis design.

The clinical implications of mandibular canal variations extend beyond implant dentistry. Prosthesis design and occlusal considerations are also influenced by these variations. Alterations in mandibular canal location can affect the distribution of occlusal forces, potentially leading to prosthesis instability and discomfort for the patient (Dinesh et al., 2016). Achieving balanced occlusion and functional harmony is essential for long-term success in prosthodontic treatments. Therefore, integrating knowledge of anatomical variations into prosthesis design is essential to ensure patient comfort and satisfaction.

Furthermore, patient education plays a pivotal role in managing anatomical variations and mitigating potential complications. Providing patients with detailed information about the risks associated with their specific anatomical variations enhances their understanding and enables them to make informed decisions (Gupta et al., 2019). Informed consent processes should encompass discussions about potential complications, alternative treatment options, and the importance of adhering to postoperative care instructions. Patients' active participation in the decision-making process contributes to successful treatment outcomes and patient satisfaction (Jain et al., 2018).

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the prevalence and implications of anatomical variations in mandibular canal location within the South Indian population. Superior, inferior, and lateral deviations were observed, each carrying specific risks and challenges for prosthodontic treatment planning. The findings underscore the importance of precise implant placement, comprehensive radiographic assessments, interdisciplinary collaboration, and patient education. Understanding and addressing these anatomical variations contribute to enhanced patient safety, improved treatment outcomes, and overall success in prosthodontic interventions.

References:

1. Ahmed HM, Hashem AA, Clavero J, et al. (2017). Anatomical mapping of the mandibular canal: A comprehensive analysis of edentulous sites using cone beam computed tomography. PLoS One, 12(7), e0182194.
2. Gupta S, Soni N, Bhatia P, et al. (2019). Assessment of anatomical variations of the inferior alveolar canal in relation to implant planning. Journal of Dental Implants, 9(2), 67-72.
3. Jain A, Kaur H, Sodhi PS, et al. (2018). Mandibular canal variations: Clinical implications in dental implant treatment. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medicine, and Pathology, 30(6), 564-568.
4. Rao SR, Madurantakam P, Ramalingam K, et al. (2020). Anatomical considerations of the inferior alveolar canal in implant dentistry: A cone-beam computed tomography study. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology, 24(2), 163-167.
5. Dinesh MR, Muthukumar B, Maralingannavar M, et al. (2016). Evaluation of mandibular canal position and the diameter: A radiographic study. Indian Journal of Dental Research, 27(6), 643-647.
6. Patel PR, Pradeep C, Varun R, et al. (2021). Anatomical variations in mandibular canal and its implications in implantology: A review. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, 25(1), 129-135.
7. Ahmed HM, Hashem AA, Clavero J, et al. (2017). Anatomical mapping of the mandibular canal: A comprehensive analysis of edentulous sites using cone beam computed tomography. PLoS One, 12(7), e0182194.
8. Dinesh MR, Muthukumar B, Maralingannavar M, et al. (2016). Evaluation of mandibular canal position and the diameter: A radiographic study. Indian Journal of Dental Research, 27(6), 643-647.
9. Gupta S, Soni N, Bhatia P, et al. (2019). Assessment of anatomical variations of the inferior alveolar canal in relation to implant planning. Journal of Dental Implants, 9(2), 67-72.
10. Jain A, Kaur H, Sodhi PS, et al. (2018). Mandibular canal variations: Clinical implications in dental implant treatment. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medicine, and Pathology, 30(6), 564-568.
11. Patel PR, Pradeep C, Varun R, et al. (2021). Anatomical variations in mandibular canal and its implications in implantology: A review. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, 25(1), 129-135.
12. Rao SR, Madurantakam P, Ramalingam K, et al. (2020). Anatomical considerations of the inferior alveolar canal in implant dentistry: A cone-beam computed tomography study. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology, 24(2), 163-167.

13. Garg A, Goyal M, Singh G, et al. (2019). Assessment of anatomical variations of the mandibular canal using cone-beam computed tomography: A retrospective study. Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry, 9(1), 40-45.

14. Alhassani AA, Alghamdi HM, Althobiti MA, et al. (2018). Anatomical study of the mandibular canal for dental implant placement: A cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) analysis of 150 cases. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 12(12), ZC71-ZC75.

15. Neto AP, Santos MJ, Gomes AC, et al. (2017). Mandibular canal assessment in different age groups using cone beam computed tomography. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry, 9(3), e424-e430.

16. Etoz M, Tuzuner-Oncul AM, Dogan MS, et al. (2016). Assessment of the mandibular canal with cone-beam computed tomography. Folia Morphologica, 75(4), 493-497.

17. Rajmohan S, Ramesh A, Murthy SN, et al. (2020). Anatomical variations of the inferior alveolar canal: A cone beam computed tomography study in Indian population. Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology, 32(1), 20-24.

18. Ghosh S, Choudhury BN, Mandal PK, et al. (2018). A cone-beam computed tomography study on morphometric analysis of the mandibular canal in a selected Indian population. Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry, 8(2), 160-165.

19. Shahidi S, Khajeh S, Salariyeh S (2016). Evaluation of anatomic variations of mandibular canal by cone-beam computed tomography. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery, 27(8), 2187-2190.

20. Asif SM, Hussain M, Zulfiqar M, et al. (2019). Assessment of anatomic variations of the mandibular canal by using cone beam computed tomography in a Pakistani population. Journal of Orthodontic Science, 8, 5.

21. Kajan ZD, Taromsari M, Moradi H, et al. (2018). Evaluation of anatomical variations of the mandibular canal using cone-beam computed tomography. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, 6(2), 38-44.

22. Upadhyay RB, Upadhyay J, Agrawal P, et al. (2020). Evaluation of anatomical variations of the mandibular canal and related structures using cone beam computed tomography in a North Indian population: A retrospective study. Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery, 2(3), 73-80.

23. Gokkulakrishnan S, Malathi N, Rajamanickam I, et al. (2017). Evaluation of anatomical variations in the position of the inferior alveolar canal using cone beam computed tomography. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences, 9(Suppl 1), S185-S189.

24. Bhut J, Dhawan R, Goel R, et al. (2020). Anatomic variability in location of inferior alveolar nerve in edentulous mandibles: A CBCT study. Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging, 30(1), 65-69.

25. AlQahtani DA, AlAteeq A, AlAsmari S, et al. (2017). Anatomical characteristics of mandibular canal detected in Saudi population using cone beam computed tomography. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 11(12), TC01-TC06.

26. Goyal N, Mehta P, Gupta S, et al. (2018). Anatomical variations in the position of the inferior alveolar nerve and their implications in surgical procedures. Imaging Science in Dentistry, 48(4), 249-257.

27. Goel A, Shah N, Malhotra R, et al. (2019). Anatomical variations in the position and course of mandibular canal in the Indian population: An observational study using cone beam computed tomography. Journal of Dentistry and Oral Care, 5(4), 1-5.

28. Das A, Pradhan D, Mohanty S, et al. (2020). Study of the anatomical variations of mandibular canal using cone beam computed tomography in a tertiary care hospital in Eastern India. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 9(2), 862-866.

29. Mohammad AA, Odat AA, Al-Adham GI, et al. (2017). Morphometric analysis of the mandibular canal in the Jordanian population using cone beam computed tomography: Clinical considerations for dental implant placement. BMC Oral Health, 17(1), 105.

30. Chrcanovic BR, Custódio ALN, Gomes CCT, et al. (2017). Prevalence, location and side of occurrence of the mandibular canal bifurcation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy, 39(2), 127-138.

31. Nayak G, Shetty SK, Babu SG, et al. (2017). Anatomical variations of the inferior alveolar nerve detected using cone beam computed tomography. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 11(9), TC13-TC16.